

2011

Adolescent Sexual Behavior: Examining Data from Texas and the US

Christine Markham

University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Christine.Markham@uth.tmc.edu

Melissa Peskin

University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Melissa.F.Peskin@uth.tmc.edu

Belinda F. Hernandez

University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, belinda.flores@uth.tmc.edu

Kimberly Johnson

University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Kimberly.Johnson@uth.tmc.edu

Robert C. Addy

University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Robert.C.Addy@uth.tmc.edu

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/childrenatrisk>

Recommended Citation

Markham, Christine; Peskin, Melissa; Hernandez, Belinda F.; Johnson, Kimberly; Addy, Robert C.; Cuccaro, Paula; Shegog, Ross; and Tortolero, Susan (2011) "Adolescent Sexual Behavior: Examining Data from Texas and the US," *Journal of Applied Research on Children: Informing Policy for Children at Risk*: Vol. 2: Iss. 2, Article 3.

Available at: <http://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/childrenatrisk/vol2/iss2/3>

The Journal of Applied Research on Children is brought to you for free and open access by CHILDREN AT RISK at DigitalCommons@The Texas Medical Center. It has a "cc by-nc-nd" Creative Commons license" (Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives) For more information, please contact digitalcommons@exch.library.tmc.edu

Adolescent Sexual Behavior: Examining Data from Texas and the US

Acknowledgements

The All About Youth study was funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (#5U48DP000057) and The Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Adolescent Family Life (90XF0036). The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the CDC.

Authors

Christine Markham, Melissa Peskin, Belinda F. Hernandez, Kimberly Johnson, Robert C. Addy, Paula Cuccaro, Ross Shegog, and Susan Tortolero

Although the teen birth rate in the United States fell to an all-time low in 2009, it remains one of the highest among all developed countries accounting for an annual \$9.1 billion in social and medical costs.¹⁻³ The US also leads developed countries in rates of sexually transmitted infections (STI) among youth,⁴ with one in four adolescent girls testing positive for an STI.⁵ Texas youth are disproportionately represented in these numbers – Texas has the 3rd highest teen birth rate in the US (63.1 per 1000 vs. 39 per 1000 for females 15-19 years), and the second highest for school-aged females (15-17 years).⁶ By 2015 the Texas teen pregnancy rate is estimated to increase by 13% to a projected rate of 127 per 1000.⁷ Texas ranks 4th among US states for Chlamydia (15.3%) and 6th for gonorrhea (2.0%) among females ages 15-24 years screened at family planning clinics.⁸ Texas also ranks 12th among states for diagnosed HIV cases among teens ages 13-19.⁹

Early sexual initiation increases the risk of teen pregnancy and STIs, including HIV.^{10,11} These outcomes have serious public health consequences. Teen pregnancy is a major factor in high school dropout – only 40% of teen mothers graduate from high school.¹² They are also less likely to graduate from college and more likely to become dependent on welfare than non-teen mothers.³ Teen fathers are more likely to drop out of school, be unemployed, and have lower incomes than non-teen fathers.¹³ They are less likely to marry the mother of their first child and often experience poor involvement with their children.¹⁴ Children of teen parents are at increased risk of school failure, living in poverty, neglect or abuse, being placed in foster care, and becoming a teen parent themselves.³ STIs increase the risk of other reproductive health problems, including pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, infertility, and cervical cancer.¹⁵ Medical costs associated with STIs among youth are estimated at \$6.5 billion per year.¹⁶ With advances in antiretroviral therapy, mortality and morbidity related to HIV infection have dramatically declined and HIV has become a chronic disease requiring lifetime management.¹⁷ However, all of these consequences are preventable.

In order to develop effective strategies to address these public health issues, it is important to examine what we know about adolescent sexual behavior. A detailed understanding of the risk behaviors in which youth engage, and the age at which these behaviors begin, will allow policy makers and practitioners to make evidence-based decisions about the content and timing of educational programming and about the sexual and

reproductive health services that teens need to make informed decisions about sex.

This article reviews local, state, and national data to better understand adolescents' engagement in sexual risk behaviors, with particular focus on Texas. First, we compare local, state, and national data on sexual initiation and other sexual risk behaviors, such as condom and contraceptive use and dating violence, to identify areas in which Texas may seek improvement. Second, we estimate the number of sexually experienced students in Texas public high schools to inform the type and timing of educational programming and sexual and reproductive healthcare services required for Texas youth. Third, we examine Texas high school students' engagement in sexual risk behaviors by subgroups to identify any disparities by gender, race/ethnicity, and grade. Finally, we examine the age of onset for non-coital behaviors, i.e., oral and anal sex, to inform decisions regarding the age-appropriateness of content for middle and high school educational programs. Teens often view oral and anal sex as less risky behaviors compared to vaginal sex,¹⁸⁻²¹ however, both behaviors have been shown to increase the risk of STIs and HIV.^{15, 22, 23}

Collectively, these analyses provide a foundation to inform the development of evidence-based policies at local, state, and national levels and to inform evidence-based practices in schools, clinics, and other youth-serving agencies. These actions are needed to help teens make informed decisions about their sexual health and ultimately to alleviate the potentially negative consequences of early sexual behavior.

METHODS

Three primary data sources were used for this review: (1) The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS); (2) CDC's Middle School Youth Risk Behavior Survey (MSYRBS); and (3) The University of Texas Prevention Research Center's *All About Youth* study.

YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY (YRBS)

The YRBS is a national school-based survey conducted biennially by the CDC among high school students to monitor priority health-risk behaviors. The sampling frame for the 2009 YRBS included private and public schools throughout the US, yielding a nationally representative sample of 9th through 12th grade students (n = 16,410). Oversampling of schools with high minority enrollment ensured adequate representation of black and Hispanic students. Texas sampling techniques produced a representative sample of public school students in grades 9 to 12 (n = 3,506). Each state

followed local parental consent procedures. The YRBS was approved by the CDC Institutional Review Board.²⁴

The YRBS is a pencil-paper survey administered in school during regular school hours. The 2009 YRBS contained nine items on sexual behavior and one item per topic on dating violence, forced sex, and school-based HIV/AIDS education. The sexual behavior items asked about “sexual intercourse” without providing an explicit definition. Thus, these items are implicitly understood to refer to vaginal sex.

MIDDLE SCHOOL YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY (MSYRBS)

Several states and cities in the U.S. also conduct a YRBS in middle schools; however, these data are not nationally representative. In 2009, weighted dataⁱ were available from 14 states, 10 of which included items on sexual behavior (n = 25,172).ⁱⁱ Texas did not participate. Ten individual school districts also yielded weighted data, six of which included sexual behavior items (n = 10,627).ⁱⁱⁱ²⁵ As in the high school survey, the sexual behavior items asked about “sexual intercourse” without providing an explicit definition. Only the item, “ever had sex,” (implicitly understood as vaginal sex) was examined for this review.

ALL ABOUT YOUTH

No state or national school-based surveys have included items on non-coital behaviors. To examine these behaviors, we used data from a study conducted by Markham and colleagues in a large urban school district in Texas (one of the top 10 largest districts in the US). The *All About Youth* study was a randomized controlled trial conducted in 15 public middle schools to assess the efficacy of two sexual education programs. A cohort of predominantly low-income, black and Hispanic students was followed from 7th to 10th grade.²⁶ For this review, we used data from the control group (n = 396) to estimate the prevalence of oral, vaginal, and anal sex among students in 7th to 10th grade. Surveys were conducted using a computer assisted self-interview (ACASI) with automated skip patterns so that non-sexually experienced students were not exposed to sexually explicit items. Parental consent and student assent were required for participation. The study was approved by the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston Institutional Review Board.

ⁱ Weighted data were available from states with a response rate of 60% or greater.

ⁱⁱ Alabama, Delaware, Hawaii, Kentucky, Maine, Mississippi, New Mexico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, and Wyoming.

ⁱⁱⁱ Chicago, IL, Duval County, FL, Memphis, TN, Miami-Dade, FL, Milwaukee, WI, and San Bernardino, CA.

ANALYSES

Analysis of YRBS and MSYRBS data was conducted using weighted data to account for the complex sampling designs. For 2009 MSYRBS data, median values and ranges for “ever had sex” were calculated for participating states and districts respectively.²⁷ For 2009 YRBS data, *t*-tests were used to compare prevalence estimates for sexual risk behaviors between Texas and US high school students and to compare pairwise differences by gender, racial/ethnic, and grade-level subgroups among Texas students (e.g., Black vs. Hispanic; Black vs. White). Differences between prevalence estimates were considered statistically significant if the *t*-test *p* value was < 0.05. These analyses were conducted using CDC’s online database, *Youth Online: High School YRBS*.²⁸

To estimate the number of sexually experienced students in Texas high schools, we multiplied the number of public school students per grade²⁹ by the percent of students who had sex per grade estimated from Texas-specific YRBS data.²⁸

Analysis of *All About Youth* data used simple frequency counts and percentages to estimate the prevalence of oral, vaginal, and anal sex by grade.

RESULTS

COMPARING LOCAL, STATE, AND NATIONAL DATA

Sexual initiation

Data from states that conducted the 2009 middle school YRBS indicate that sexual initiation ranged from 5% to 15% (median of 8%) for 6th graders; from 9% to 33% (median 13%) for 7th graders; and from 14% to 42% (median 25%) for 8th graders. Data from school districts that conducted the 2009 middle school YRBS indicate a pattern of slightly higher prevalence for sexual initiation – ranges for these districts were 10% to 21% (median 13%), 13% to 27% (median 22%), and 25% to 36% (median 29%) for 6th, 7th, and 8th graders, respectively.²⁷ (See Table 1).

Table 1. Percentage of middle school students who ever had sex: Data from states and school districts that participated in the 2009 middle school YRBS, by grade²⁷

Location	6 th Grade	7 th Grade	8 th Grade
States			
Alabama	NA	32.9 (23.9-43.4)*	30.9 (23.0-40.0)
Delaware	15.0 (10.2–21.6)	20.2 (17.3-23.5)	30.8 (25.8-36.4)
Hawaii	5.8 (3.7-9.0)	9.7 (7.3-12.9)	19.3 (15.2-24.0)
Kentucky	10.6 (6.9-15.9)	13.4 (9.5-18.4)	27.2 (20.5-35.1)
Maine	NA	9.9 (8.7-11.4)	13.9 (12.4-15.5)
Mississippi	13.9 (9.7-19.7)	22.8 (16.6-30.4)	41.6 (34.9-48.5)
New Mexico	5.2 (3.7-7.2)	9.3 (6.8-12.7)	17.3 (14.3-20.8)
Rhode Island	5.7 (4.7-6.9)	12.0 (9.3-15.3)	22.5 (19.5-25.8)
South Carolina	13.2 (9.3-18.5)	24.8 (18.2-32.8)	32.0 (25.3-39.7)
Wyoming	5.9 (4.1-8.4)	13.3 (10.5-16.7)	20.7 (17.5-24.3)
Median	8.3	13.4	24.9
Local			
Chicago, IL	11.7 (7.8-17.1)	20.3 (14.3-28.0)	25.6 (17.8-35.3)
Duval Co., FL	14.7 (11.7-18.4)	22.8 (18.7-27.5)	32.3 (27.8-37.3)
Memphis, TN	20.5 (14.4-28.3)	26.9 (22.0-32.4)	35.7 (29.7-42.2)
Miami-Dade Co., FL	11.7 (7.5-17.7)	17.6 (13.1-23.4)	24.6 (19.7-30.4)
Milwaukee, WI	15.0 (11.6-19.1)	25.5 (20.4-31.4)	35.2 (31.2-39.4)
San Bernardino, CA	9.7 (5.5-16.5)	13.2(10.3-16.8)	24.9 (21.2-29.0)
Median	13.2	21.6	29.0


* Percentage, confidence interval

NA = < 100 respondents for the subgroup

National data from the 2009 high school YRBS indicate that 32% of 9th grade students are sexually experienced. This percentage increases to 41% and 53% of 10th and 11th grade students, respectively. By the end of high school, 62% of 12th grade students – almost two thirds – report being sexually experienced.²⁴

Comparison of Texas and national data indicates that Texas high school students are more likely to be sexually experienced than their peers nationwide. Overall, in 2009, 52% of Texas 9th-12th graders reported ever having sex compared to 46% of 9th-12th graders nationally ($p < 0.05$). Looking at specific grade levels, Texas 10th grade students and 12th grade students were more likely to have had sex compared to their US peers (52% vs. 41%, $p < 0.01$; 69% vs. 62%, $p < 0.05$).²⁸ (See Figure 1).

Figure 1. Initiation of sexual intercourse in Texas and US high schools by grade²⁸



Other sexual risk behaviors

2009 YRBS data indicate that Texas high school students are more likely to engage in sexual risk behaviors compared to high school students nationally. Texas students are more likely to report having had sex with four or more partners (17% vs. 14%, $p < 0.05$) and to have had sex with at least one person in the past 3 months (38% vs. 34%, < 0.05) compared to their US peers. Texas students who are sexually active are also more likely to report not using birth control pills (86% vs. 80%, $p < 0.001$), not using Depo-Provera (98% vs. 97%, $p < 0.001$), and not using birth control pills or Depo-Provera (84% vs. 77%, $p < 0.001$) the last time they had sex compared to students nationwide. Further, sexually active Texas students are more likely to report not using dual protection against STIs and pregnancy, i.e., using a condom and birth control pills or Depo-Provera, the last time they had sex compared to their peers nationally (94% vs. 91%, $p < 0.05$). Finally, Texas students are significantly less likely to receive education about HIV or AIDS in school compared to high school students nationally (17% vs. 13%, < 0.05).²⁸ (See Table 2).

Although there are no significant differences between Texas and US high school students for other examined sexual risk behaviors, the level of engagement in these behaviors is worth noting. Six percent of both Texas and US high school students report having sex for the first time before age 13; 22% of both Texas and US high school students used alcohol or drugs

the last time they had sex; and, among sexually active students, 42% of Texas students and 39% of US students did not use a condom the last time they had sex. Regarding dating violence, 10% of both Texas and US high school students report having been hit, slapped or physically hurt on purpose by their boyfriend or girlfriend in the past year, and 7% of both Texas and US students have ever been physically forced to have sex against their will.²⁸ (See Table 2).

Table 2. Comparison of the Percentage of Texas and US high school students who engaged in sexual risk behaviors, 2009^{28*}

Risk Behavior	Texas students %	US students %	Texas students significantly more likely to engage in behavior than US students? †
Ever had sexual intercourse	52	46	√
Had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years	6	6	
Had sexual intercourse with four or more partners	17	14	√
Had sexual intercourse with at least one person in past 3 months	38	34	√
Drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse ^a	22	22	
Did not use a condom during last sexual intercourse ^a	42	39	
Did not use birth control pills before last sexual intercourse ^a	86	80	√
Did not use Depo-Provera before last sexual intercourse ^a	98	97	√
Did not use birth control pills or Depo-Provera before last sexual intercourse ^a	84	77	√
Did not use a condom and birth control pills or Depo-Provera before last sexual	94	91	√

intercourse ^a			
Hit, slapped or physically hurt on purpose by their boyfriend or girlfriend ^b	10	10	
Ever physically forced to have sexual intercourse (when they did not want to)	7	7	
Never taught in school about AIDS or HIV infection	17	13	√

*Overall sample size: Texas, n = 3,506, US n = 16,410. N's for specific risk behaviors varied due to missing data.

^a Among students who were currently sexually active (i.e., had sex in past three months).

^b During the 12 months before the survey.

[†] Checked behaviors, p < 0.05

NUMBER OF SEXUALLY EXPERIENCED STUDENTS IN TEXAS HIGH SCHOOLS

Extrapolating from Texas-specific data on sexual initiation among high school students,²⁸ we estimated the number of sexually experienced students in Texas public high schools for the 2009-2010 school year.²⁹ In Texas public high schools, 689,512 students are estimated to be sexually experienced – over half (52%) of the total high school population. (See Table 3).

Table 3. Estimate of sexually experienced students in Texas high schools by grade

Grade	Percent of Students who Have Had Sex	Number of Students Enrolled in Texas	Estimated Number of Sexually Experienced Students
9th	35%	392,040	136,038
10th	52%	334,823	174,108
11th	58%	310,070	179,531
12th	69%	290,882	199,836
Total	52%	1,327,815	689,512

Notes: The percent of students having sex is from 2009 YRBS Texas data.²⁸ Public school enrollment data are from the Texas Education Agency, *Enrollment in Texas Public Schools, 2009-10*.²⁹

SEXUAL RISK BEHAVIORS AMONG TEXAS HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS BY SUBGROUPS

Gender

2009 YRBS data indicate that male high school students in Texas are significantly more likely than their female counterparts to report having initiated sex before age 13 (9% vs. 3%), to have had sex with four or more partners (20% vs. 13%), and to have used alcohol or drugs the last time they had sex (25% vs. 18%), all at $p < 0.01$. Among sexually active students, males are significantly more likely than females to report non-use of birth control pills (90% vs. 83%), birth control pills or Depo Provera (89% vs. 80%), or dual protection (97% vs. 92%) by themselves or their partner at last sexual intercourse, all at $p < 0.01$. In contrast, female high school students who are sexually active are significantly more likely than males to report that they or their partner did not use a condom the last time they had sex (47% vs. 37%) and to have ever been physically forced to have sex against their will (11% vs. 4%), both at $p < 0.01$.

Although there are no significant differences between male and female students in the following behaviors, the level of engagement across

genders is noteworthy. Approximately half of all male and female students have ever had sex (54% and 49%); over one third have had sex with at least one person in the past three months (37% and 39%); almost all sexually active students reported that they or their partner did not use Depo Provera the last time they had sex (99% and 98%); approximately 1 in 10 male and female students reported having experienced dating violence in the past year (9% and 10%), and nearly one in five (17%) male and female students did not receive education about HIV or AIDS in school.²⁸ (See Table 4).

Race/Ethnicity

2009 YRBS data indicate that black and Hispanic high school students in Texas are significantly more likely than non-Hispanic white students to have ever had sex (63% vs. 54% vs. 45%, $p < 0.01$) and to have had sex with at least one person in the past three months (43% vs. 40% vs. 33%, $p < 0.05$). Sexually active black and Hispanic students are also more likely to report not using birth control pills (94% vs. 90% vs. 78%, $p < 0.01$), not using birth control pills or Depo-Provera (91% vs. 89% vs. 75%, $p < 0.01$), and not using dual protection (97% vs. 96% vs. 90%, $p < 0.05$) the last time they had sex compared to non-Hispanic white students.

Black students are more likely than Hispanic and non-Hispanic white students to have had sex for the first time before age 13 (12% vs. 6% vs. 4%, $p < 0.05$) and to have had sex with four or more partners (29% vs. 15% vs. 14%, $p < 0.01$). Black students are also more likely than non-Hispanic white students to have experienced dating violence in the past year (13% vs. 9%, $p < 0.05$). Hispanic students are more likely than black and non-Hispanic white students to have never received education about HIV and AIDS in school (25% vs. 12% vs. 11%, $p < 0.01$).

There are no significant differences between racial/ethnic groups for the remaining behaviors: using alcohol or drugs before last sexual intercourse, not using a condom or Depo-Provera, and having been physically forced to have sex.²⁸ (See Table 4).

Grade Level

2009 YRBS data indicate that most grade-level differences in sexual risk behavior occur between 9th and 10th grades. Compared to 9th grade students, 10th grade students are more likely to have ever had sex (35% vs. 52%), to have had sex with four or more partners (9% vs. 16%), to have had sex with at least one person in the past three months (23% vs. 36%), to have used alcohol or drugs before having sex (among sexually active students) (19% vs. 26%), and to have experienced dating violence

in the past year (5% vs. 11%), all at $p < 0.01$. Additionally, compared to 11th grade students, 12th grade students are more likely to have had sex with four or more partners (19% vs. 26%, $p < 0.05$). There are no other significant differences between consecutive grade levels.²⁸ (See Table 4).

Table 4. Percentage of Texas high school students who engaged in risk behaviors in 2009 by gender, race/ethnicity, and grade^{28*}

Risk Behavior	Gender		Race/Ethnicity			Grade				
	Total %	Males %	Females %	Black %	Hispanic %	White %	9 th %	10 th %	11 th %	12 th %
Ever had sexual intercourse	52	54	49	63 ^{c**}	54 ^{d**}	45	35	52 ^{g**}	58	69
Had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13 years	6	9 ^{**}	3	12 ^{ce**}	6	4	7	8	5	4
Had sexual intercourse with four or more partners	17	20 ^{**}	13	29 ^{ce**}	15	14	9	16 ^{g**}	19	26 ^{h*}
Had sexual intercourse with at least one person in past 3 months	38	37	39	43 ^{c*}	40 ^{d*}	33	23	36 ^{g**}	44	54
Drank alcohol or used drugs before last sexual intercourse ^a	22	25 ^{**}	18	22	21	22	19	26 ^{g**}	19	22

Did not use a condom during last sexual intercourse ^a	42	37	47**	38	46	40	33	39	47	46
Did not use birth control pills before last sexual intercourse ^a	86	90**	83	94 ^{c**}	90 ^{d**}	78	92	87	82	85
Did not use Depo-Provera before last sexual intercourse ^a	98	99	98	98	99	98	100	98	98	98
Did not use birth control pills or Depo-Provera before last sexual intercourse ^a	84	89**	80	91 ^{c**}	89 ^{d**}	75	92	85	80	83
Did not use a condom and birth control pills or Depo-Provera before last sexual intercourse ^a	94	97**	92	97 ^{c**}	96 ^{d*}	90	96	92	93	95

Hit, slapped or physically hurt on purpose by their boyfriend or girlfriend ^b	10	9	10	13 ^{c*}	10	9	5	11 ^{g**}	11	13
Ever physically forced to have sexual intercourse (when they did not want to)	7	4	11 ^{**}	6	7	7	6	6	7	10
Never taught in school about AIDS or HIV infection	17	17	17	12	25 ^{df**}	11	18	17	15	17

*Overall sample size: Texas, n = 3,506. N's for specific risk behaviors varied due to missing data.

^a Among students who were currently sexually active (i.e., had sex in past three months)

^b During the 12 months before the survey

^c Black students more likely than white students to engage in risk behavior

^d Hispanic students more likely than white students to engage in risk behavior

^e Black students more likely than Hispanic students to engage in risk behavior

^f Hispanic students more likely than black students to engage in risk behavior

^g 10th grade students more likely than 9th grade students to engage in risk behavior


^h 12th grade students more likely than 11th grade students to engage in risk behavior

* p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01

INITIATION OF NON-COITAL BEHAVIORS

Data from a large Texas urban school district indicate that some middle and high school students are engaging in non-coital behaviors. Thirteen percent of 7th grade students have ever had oral sex. This percentage rises to 16% in 8th grade, 25% in 9th grade, and 40% in 10th grade. Six percent of 7th grade students have ever had anal sex. This percentage rises to 9% in 8th grade, 11% in 9th grade, and 18% in 10th grade. (See Figure 2). At each grade level, over half of all sexually experienced students has engaged in two or more types of sex.²⁶

Figure 2. Initiation of oral, vaginal, and anal sex by grade



DISCUSSION

A substantial proportion of middle and high school students are having sex. Sexual initiation begins as early as 6th grade and increases steadily through 12th grade with almost two-thirds of high school seniors nationally being sexually experienced. Many teens are not protecting themselves from unintended pregnancy or STIs – nationally, 80% and 39% of sexually active high school students did not use birth control pills or a condom, respectively, the last time they had sex.²⁴ Further, many middle and high school students may be engaging in oral and anal sex, two behaviors which increase the risk of contracting an STI and HIV.^{15, 30} In Texas, an estimated 689,512 public high school students are sexually experienced. Texas high school students surpass their US peers in several sexual risk behaviors including number of lifetime sexual partners, being currently

sexually active, and not using effective methods of birth control or dual protection when having sex. They are also less likely to receive HIV/AIDS education in school.²⁸ Changes in policy and practice at the state and national levels are urgently needed to address these issues effectively.

Collecting Data on Adolescent Sexual Behavior

Having state-specific data on adolescent sexual behavior is a critical first step for evidence-based planning. Texas-specific data on sexual behavior among middle school students are not available. However, high school YRBS data indicate that 7% of 9th grade students in Texas initiated sexual intercourse before age 13.²⁸ Given the levels of sexual experience reported by other states at the middle school level, it is likely that many middle school students in Texas are sexually experienced. State-wide implementation of the middle school YRBS would provide policy-makers and practitioners with valuable data to assess the needs of middle school students related to sexual health and to monitor changes in early adolescent sexual behavior over time.

Providing Effective Sexual Education Programs

Providing sexual education to middle school students has been shown to delay sexual initiation³¹⁻³⁵ and to reduce sexual risk behaviors.^{31,35-37} Thus, widespread implementation of effective sexual education programs in middle school or earlier, i.e., before students start having sex, is essential to provide students with the knowledge and skills they need to make informed decisions about sex. Implementation of *evidence-based* programs, i.e., programs that have been rigorously evaluated, been shown to impact behavior change, and whose results are published in a peer-reviewed journal,³⁸ is critical to ensure that limited resources are used for the greatest effect. Several such programs exist;³⁹ however, few are being implemented. Given the early initiation of non-coital behaviors and the limited use of condoms and contraception once students become sexually active, middle school curricula should include age-appropriate content on oral, anal, and vaginal sex and medically accurate information on condoms and contraception.

Implementing effective sexual education in high school is also important and can produce substantial cost savings in terms of cases of pregnancy, STIs, and HIV averted. Economic analysis of a school-based high school sexual education showed that for every dollar invested in the program, \$2.65 in total medical and social costs were saved.⁴⁰ YRBS data indicate that 9th grade is a critical year for intervention to reduce the increase in risk behaviors that occurs in the transition to 10th grade.

However, given the steady increase in sexual initiation across grade levels, ongoing sexual education in grades 10-12 is strongly recommended. Given the proportion of sexually experienced students in high school, it is critical to include medically accurate information on condoms and effective contraception and to provide links to reproductive health services, including pregnancy, STI, and HIV testing, in the local community. One fifth of high school students report using alcohol or drugs the last time they had sex; thus, including activities that demonstrate the impact of substance use on sexual decision-making and that provide refusal skills training to avoid substance use, is also recommended. Approximately 1 in 10 high school students has experienced dating violence; a slightly smaller number has experienced forced sex. These data indicate the need to provide instruction on healthy dating relationships as well as referrals to dating violence and rape counseling services in the local community.

Gender differences are evident in teen sexual behavior. Although co-education sexual education programs have shown positive impact by reducing sexual risk behaviors and enhancing protective behaviors among males and females,³⁹ some teens may benefit from gender-specific programs. For example, *R.E.A.L. Men* is an evidence-based sexual education program for middle school-aged boys and their fathers. In a randomized controlled trial conducted in seven urban Boys and Girls Clubs, boys who participated in the program were more likely to delay sexual initiation and to use condoms if sexually active than boys in the control group.⁴¹ Similarly, *SiHLE (Sistas, Informing, Healing, Living, Empowering)* is an evidence-based sexual education program developed specifically for African-American teen girls. The clinic-based program integrates elements of gender and ethnic pride and has been shown to reduce the number of sexual partners and increase condom use among program participants, resulting in decreased pregnancy and STI rates over a year compared to girls in the control group.⁴²

Enhance Access to Sexual and Reproductive Health Services

Between 1995 and 2002, 77% of the decline in teen pregnancy among 15–17 year olds in the US was attributed to improved contraceptive use.⁴³ However, 2009 YRBS data indicate limited use of effective contraceptive methods by high school students – nationally, over 70% of students did not use birth control pills or Depo Provera the last time they had sex. Furthermore, YRBS data from 1999 to 2009 indicate that the proportion of high school students nationally using effective contraceptive methods has not increased over the past decade.⁴⁴ This suggests that an important

strategy for teen pregnancy prevention is being under-utilized. Similarly, low use of condoms and dual protection (i.e., condoms and effective birth control) indicate that many youth are not using effective methods to protect against STIs and HIV.

These issues highlight the need to increase adolescents' access to sexual and reproductive health services, including testing and treatment for STIs and HIV. However, many obstacles exist, such as cost, lack of transportation, inconvenient clinic hours, embarrassment, and fear of loss of confidentiality.⁴⁵ Although decisions regarding sexual health and contraception ideally involve conversations between a teen and their parent, many teens avoid or delay accessing sexual and reproductive health services if parental notification is required. A study by Reddy and colleagues reported that 59% of teenage girls who were using reproductive healthcare services would stop or delay seeking services, including STI and HIV testing, if parental consent were required.⁴⁶ Although all fifty US states have enacted laws that explicitly allow minors to consent to STI services without parental notification, only 21 states have similar laws for contraceptive services.^{47, 48} Further, Title X funding, the only federal funding program that provides family planning services for teens without parental notification, is currently under threat.⁴⁹ Thus, identifying effective strategies to increase confidential access to reproductive and sexual healthcare services is essential.

School-based health centers provide enhanced healthcare access for youth and offer an effective venue for the provision of contraceptives, STI testing, and mental health services.^{50, 51} In California, students who reported low to moderate use of school-based health centers were also one-third less likely to drop out of high school compared to students who do not access school-based centers.⁵² Aside from school-based health services, making community health services more integrated and teen-friendly, i.e., providing low-cost, comprehensive, confidential STI, HIV, and family planning services by staff whom teens like and trust, has been shown to increase adolescent utilization of health care services.⁵³ Thus, enacting policies that promote the provision of sexual and reproductive health services via school-based health centers and that promote integrated, teen-friendly community health services would markedly increase adolescents' access to and utilization of sexual and reproductive health services.

Needs of Urban Youth

Comparison of district- and state-level data from the 2009 middle school YRBS indicates higher levels of sexual initiation among urban middle

school students compared to students statewide.²⁶ This observation is corroborated by the high rate of sexual initiation among middle school students in the *All About Youth* study, which was conducted in a large urban school district.²⁷ Neighborhood effects including widespread poverty, high unemployment and residential instability, all more common in urban areas, have been associated with early sexual initiation and increased adolescent sexual risk behavior.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ These neighborhood effects account, in part, for racial/ethnic differences in adolescent sexual risk-taking.⁵⁴ Black and Hispanic youth are disproportionately more likely to live in poverty and to have inadequate access to healthcare than non-Hispanic white youth,^{57, 58} factors which contribute greatly to racial/ethnic disparities in teen births, STIs, and HIV.^{1, 8, 59} Thus, effective strategies that impact poverty and other neighborhood socioeconomic effects are needed to redress disparities in adolescent sexual health. Youth development programs that provide after-school activities including life skills and career training, as well as access to reproductive health services, have been shown to have a long-term impact on increasing contraceptive use and reducing teen pregnancy.⁶⁰ Similarly, sexual education programs that include community service learning projects for inner-city youth have been shown to enhance academic achievement and to reduce teen pregnancy and other adverse outcomes.⁶¹ However, these types of program are time and resource intensive and are limited in the number of youth that they can enroll. Additional evidence-based strategies are needed to impact larger numbers of urban youth.

The Situation in Texas

Why are teens in Texas more likely to engage in sexual risk behaviors than teens nationwide? Several factors may contribute. First, high school students in Texas are less likely than their US peers to receive HIV/AIDS education in school. Furthermore, in Texas, Hispanic students are less likely than black and non-Hispanic white students to receive HIV/AIDS education.²⁸ Additionally, 94% of Texas middle and high schools are implementing non-evidence-based sexual education programs; many are using state-approved health education textbooks that omit medically accurate information on condoms and contraception.⁶² Texas also has more restrictive policies than many other states regarding adolescents' access to reproductive health services.⁴⁸ With few exceptions, Texas law requires that youth under age 18 obtain parental consent for prescribed contraceptives.^{63, 64} Texas law also requires healthcare providers to notify law enforcement officials of all patients under age 17 whom they suspect are sexually active.^{65, 66} An economic study conducted by Franzini and

colleagues⁶⁷ estimated the projected costs in terms of the additional number of pregnancies, births, abortions and STIs to teenage girls using publicly funded healthcare services in Texas once these laws were enacted. Estimated additional costs totaled \$43.6 million – costs that could have been averted by less restrictive notification laws. Similar economic analyses have been conducted to examine potential changes in Title X funding in Texas. In 2006, contraceptive services provided via Title X funding averted 9,708 unintended pregnancies to girls ages 19 or younger in Texas.⁶⁸ If Title X services were defunded, Texas' teen pregnancy levels would be 13% higher than current levels.⁶⁸

In 2009, 24% of Texas children lived in poverty compared to 20% of children nationally, and Texas ranked joint first among US states for the percentage of children living below 200% poverty (49% in Texas vs. 42% in the US).⁶⁹ Texas ranks first among US states for the percentage of residents aged 19 and younger living without health insurance.⁷⁰ Texas has 89 school-based health centers statewide that provide basic health care to medically underserved children and adolescents. However, sexual and reproductive health services are not explicitly part of the healthcare offered to youth.⁷¹ Thus, a strategic resource for increasing access to sexual and reproductive health services for underserved youth is being overlooked.

Limitations

Although the data presented in this article provide an overview of sexual risk behaviors among teens in Texas and the US, several limitations should be noted. Middle and high school YRBS data are limited to mainstream public schools and do not include youth attending alternative education settings and out-of-school youth, who may engage in higher levels of sexual risk behavior.⁷² The *All About Youth* study was conducted in one large urban Texas school district; thus, findings may not generalize to other smaller or non-Texas school districts. Finally, YRBS and *All About Youth* data are self-reported; thus, they may be susceptible to over- or under-reporting.⁷³

Renewed Emphasis on Adolescent Sexual Health

The federal government has placed renewed emphasis on adolescent sexual health. *Healthy People 2020* objectives focus on reducing teen pregnancy rates by increasing the proportion of teens who have never had sex, who use condoms and effective contraceptive methods when having sex, and who have received formal instruction on reproductive health topics by age 18.⁷⁴ CDC has named teen pregnancy as one of its 6

“winnable battles”⁷⁵ and the US Department of Health and Human Services’ newly established Office of Adolescent Health has allocated \$75 million for the implementation of evidence-based sexual education programs.⁷⁶ Similar steps are needed in Texas to effectively advance adolescent sexual health. Given the extensive social and economic costs associated with teen pregnancy, STIs, and HIV, there is an urgent need to implement evidence-based strategies at the state and national levels to help teens make informed, responsible decisions about sex and ultimately to avert these negative public health outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Changes in policy and practice, including implementation of evidence-based sex education programs and increased access to integrated, teen-friendly sexual and reproductive health services, are urgently needed at the state and national levels to combat the negative public health consequences associated with early sexual initiation. Policies and practices targeting urban youth are especially warranted.

REFERENCES

1. Hamilton B, Martin J, Ventura S, Division of Vital Statistics. Births: Preliminary Data for 2009. *National Vital Statistics Reports*; 2010;59(3):1-29.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing Teen Pregnancy in the US. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Division of Reproductive Health. <http://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/TeenPregnancy/index.html>. Published April 1, 2011. Accessed July 22, 2011.
3. Hoffman SD. *By the Numbers: The Public Costs of Teen Childbearing*. Washington, DC: National Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy; 2006.
4. Panchaud C, Singh S, Feivelson D, Darroch J. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Among Adolescents in Developed Countries. *Fam Plann Perspect* 2000;32(1):24-32-45.
5. Forhan S, Gottlieb S, Sternberg M et al. Prevalence of Sexually Transmitted Infections Among Female Adolescents Aged 14 to 19 in the United States. *Pediatrics* 2009;124(6):1505-12.
6. Child Trends. Facts At A Glance: Birth Rates By Marital Status. A Fact Sheet Reporting National, State, And City Trends In Teen Childbearing. ChildTrends; 2011 – 10.
7. Sayegh MA, Castrucci BC, Lewis K, Hobbs-Lopez A. Teen pregnancy in Texas: 2005 to 2015. *Matern Child Health J* 2010 January;14(1):94-101.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Division of STD Prevention. *Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2009*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2010.
9. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Divisions of HIV/AIDS Prevention. National Center for HIV/AIDS VHSaTP. HIV surveillance in adolescents and young adults. Slide No. 9: Rates of diagnosis of HIV infection among adolescents 13-19 years of age, 2008 – 37 states and 5 US dependent areas. 2010. Accessed May 3, 2011.
10. Flanigan CM. Sexual activity among girls under age 15: Findings from the National Survey of Family Growth. In: Albert B, Brown S, Flanigan CM, ed. *14 and Younger: The Sexual Behavior of Young Adolescents*. Washington, DC: National Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy; 2003:57-64.
11. Kaestle CE, Halpern CT, Miller WC, Ford CA. Young age at first

- sexual intercourse and sexually transmitted infections in adolescents and young adults. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2005;161(8):774-780.
12. The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy. *Policy Brief: Preventing Teen Pregnancy is Critical to School Completion.* Washington, DC: The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy; 2010.
 13. Bronte-Tinkew J, Burkhauser M, Metz A. *Elements of Promising Practice in Teen Fatherhood Programs: Evidence-Based and Evidence-Informed Research Findings on What Works.* Gaithersburg, MD: National Responsible Fatherhood Clearinghouse; 2008.
 14. National Campaign to Prevent Teen Pregnancy. *Why it matters: Teen pregnancy and responsible fatherhood;* 2011. <http://www.thenationalcampaign.org/why-itmatters/pdf/fatherhood.pdf>.
 15. National Center for HIV/AIDS VHSaTP. CDC Sexually Transmitted Disease Fact Sheets. *CDC* 2011; http://www.cdc.gov/std/healthcomm/fact_sheets.htm. Accessed May 24, 2011.
 16. Chesson HW, Blandford JM, Gift TL, Tao G, Irwin KL. The estimated direct medical cost of sexually transmitted diseases among American youth, 2000. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health.* 2004;36(1):11-19.
 17. Swendeman D, Ingram B, Rotheram-Borus M. Common elements in self-management of HIV and other chronic illnesses: An integrative framework. *AIDS Care.* 2009;21(10):1321-1334.
 18. Prinstein MJ, Meade CS, Cohen GL. Adolescent oral sex, peer popularity, and perceptions of best friends' sexual behavior. *J Pediatr Psychol.* 2003;28(4):243-249.
 19. Brady SS, Halpern-Felsher BL. Adolescents' reported consequences of having oral sex versus vaginal sex. *Pediatrics.* 2007;119(2):229-236.
 20. Cornell JL, Halpern-Felsher BL. Adolescents tell us why teens have oral sex. *J Adolesc Health* 2006;38(3):299-301.
 21. Halperin DT. Heterosexual anal intercourse: prevalence, cultural factors, and HIV infection and other health risks, Part I. *AIDS Patient Care STDs.* 1999;13(12):717-730.
 22. Edwards S, Carne C. Oral sex and transmission of non-viral STIs. *Sex Transm Infect.* 1998;74(2):95-100.
 23. Edwards S, Carne C. Oral sex and the transmission of viral STIs.

- Sex Transm Infect.* 1998;74(1):6-10.
24. Eaton D, Kann L, Kinchen K et al. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance — United States, 2009. *MMWR.* 2010;59(SS-5):1-142.
 25. National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy. *50-State and National Comparisons: Teen Birth Rates.* Author; 2011. <http://www.thenationalcampaign.org/state-data/statecomparisons.asp?id=4&SID=44>. Accessed July 21, 2011.
 26. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Middle School YRBS. *CDC* 2011. <http://www.cdc.gov/HealthyYouth/yrbs/middleschool.htm>. Accessed May 19, 2011.
 27. Markham C, Tortolero S, Peskin M et al. Sexual Risk Avoidance and Sexual Risk Reduction Interventions For Middle School Youth: A Randomized Controlled Trial. in-press.
 28. CDC Division of Adolescent and School Health. Youth Online: High School YRBS. *YRBSS: Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System* 2011. <http://apps.nccd.cdc.gov/youthonline/App/Default.aspx>. Accessed May 20, 2011.
 29. Texas Education Agency Division of Accountability Research Department of Assessment AaDQ. Enrollment in Texas Public Schools, 2009-10. Austin, TX: Texas Education Agency; 2010.
 30. CDC HIV/AIDS Facts. Oral sex and HIV risk. 2009. Atlanta, GA, CDC HIV/AIDS resources. Accessed May 26, 2011.
 31. Tortolero S, Markham CM, Peskin MF et al. It's Your Game: Keep It Real: Delaying sexual behavior with an effective middle school program. *J Adolesc Health.* 2010;46(2):169-179.
 32. Coyle KK, Kirby DB, Marin BV, Gomez CA, Gregorich SE. Draw the Line/Respect the Line: A randomized trial of a middle school intervention to reduce sexual risk behaviors. *Am J Public Health.* 2004;94(5):843-851.
 33. Weed S, Ericksen I, Lewis A, Grant G, Wibberly K. An abstinence program's impact on cognitive mediators and sexual initiation. *Am J Health Behav.* 2008;32(1):60-73.
 34. Denny G, Young M. An evaluation of an abstinence-only sex education curriculum: An 18-month follow-up. *J Sch Health.* 2006;76:414-422.
 35. Jemmott J, Jemmott L, Fong G. Efficacy of a theory-based abstinence-only intervention over 24 months. A randomized controlled trial with young adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2010;164(2):152-159.
 36. Jemmott J, Jemmott L, Fong G. Abstinence and safer sex HIV risk

- reduction interventions for African American adolescents: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 1998;279(19):1529-1536.
37. Flay BR, Graumlich S, Segawa E, Burns J, Holliday M, for the Aban Aya investigators. Effects of 2 prevention programs on high-risk behaviors among African American youth: A randomized trial. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2004;158:377-384
 38. Abstinence-Centered Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program. Evidence-based programming. *Texas Department of State Health Services* 2011. <http://www.dshs.state.tx.us/abstain/evidence.shtm>. Accessed May 25, 2011.
 39. Kirby D. *Emerging answers 2007: Research findings on programs to reduce teen pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases*. Washington, DC: National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy; 2007.
 40. Wang L, Davis M, Robin L, Collins J, Coyle K, Baumler E. Economic Evaluation of Safer Choices: A School-Based Human Immunodeficiency Virus, Other Sexually Transmitted Diseases, and Pregnancy Prevention Program. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2000;154:1017-1024.
 41. Dilorio C, McCarty F, Resnicow K, Lehr S, Denzmore P. REAL men: A group-randomized trial of an HIV prevention intervention for adolescent boys. *Am J Public Health*. 2007;97(6):1084-1089.
 42. DiClemente R, Wingood G, Harrington K et al. Efficacy of an HIV prevention intervention for African American adolescent girls: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2004;292:171-179.
 43. Santelli J, Lindberg L, Finer L, Singh S. Explaining recent declines in adolescent pregnancy in the United States: The contribution of Abstinence and Improved Contraceptive Use. *Am J Public Health*. 2007;97(150):156.
 44. Division of Adolescent and School Health. Trends in the Prevalence of Sexual Behaviors. National YRBS: 1991-2009. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Web site*. <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/yrbs/trends.htm>. Accessed May 26, 2011.
 45. Brindis C, Davis L. *Communities Responding to the Challenge of Adolescent Pregnancy Prevention: Improving Contraceptive Access for Teens*. Washington, DC: Advocates for Youth; 1998. Volume IV.
 46. Reddy D, Fleming R, Swain C. Effect of Mandatory Parental Notification on Adolescent Girls' Use of Sexual Health Care Services. *JAMA*. 2002;288(6):710-714.
 47. Guttmacher Institute. *Minors' access to STI services*. New York,

- NY: Guttmacher Institute; 2011.
48. Guttmacher Institute. Minors' access to contraceptive services. New York, NY: Guttmacher Institute; 2011.
 49. Miles A. A Guide To GOP Proposals On Family Planning Fund. Henry J Kaiser Family Foundation Web site. <http://www.kaiserhealthnews.org/Stories/2011/February/18/planned-parenthood-title-10.aspx>. Published March 9, 2011. Accessed May 29, 2011.
 50. Soleimanpour S, Geierstanger S, Kaller S, McCarter V, Brindis C. The role of school health centers in health care access and client outcomes. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(9):1597-1603.
 51. Braun R, Provost J. Bridging the gap: Using school-based health services to improve Chlamydia screening among young women. *Am J Public Health*. 2010;100(9):1624-1629.
 52. Kerns S, Pullman M, Walker S, Lyon A, Cosgrove T, Bruns E. Adolescent use of school-based health centers and high school dropout. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2011;165(7):617-623.
 53. Loo V, Brindis C, Bolan G. Providing Integrated and Teen-Friendly Reproductive Health Services: How to Assess and Strengthen Your Service Interventions. San Francisco, CA: California Dept of Health Services, Sexually Transmitted Disease Control Branch and The Bixby Center for Global Reproductive Health; 2010.
 54. Ramirez-Valles J, Zimmerman M, Newcomb M. Sexual risk behavior among youth: Modeling the influence of prosocial activities and Socioeconomic Factors. *J Health Soc Behav*. 1998;39(3):237-253.
 55. Baumer E, South S. Community Effects on Youth Sexual Activity. *J Marriage Fam*. 2001;63(2):540-544.
 56. Browning C, Burrington L, Leventhal T, Brooks-Gunn J. Neighborhood structural inequality, collective efficacy, and sexual risk behavior among urban youth. *J Health Soc Behav*. 2008;49(3):269-285.
 57. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Health Risks and Disparities Experienced by Black Youth. Factors that Contribute to Health Disparities. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Web site. <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/disparities/black/factors.htm>. Accessed May 29, 2011.
 58. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Health Risks and Disparities Experienced by Hispanic Youth. Factors that Contribute to Health Disparities. Centers for

- Disease Control and Prevention Web site.
<http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/disparities/hispanic/factors.htm>.
Accessed May 29, 2011.
59. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV/AIDS Surveillance in Adolescents and Young Adults (through 2007). Slide 1: Percentages of HIV/AIDS Cases and Population among Adolescents 13 to 19 Years of Age, by Race/Ethnicity Diagnosed in 2007 - 34 States. 2009.
 60. Philliber S, Kaye J, Herrling S, West E. Preventing pregnancy and improving health care access among teenagers: An evaluation of the children's aid society-Carrera program. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health*. 2002;34(5):244-251.
 61. Allen J, Philliber S, Herrling S, Kuperminc G. Preventing teen pregnancy and academic failure: experimental evaluation of a developmentally based approach. *Child Dev*. 1997;64(4):729-742.
 62. Wiley D, Wilson K, Valentine R. *Just say don't know: Sexuality education in Texas public schools*. Austin, TX: Texas Freedom Network Education Fund; 2009.
 63. Consent To Treatment By Child, Texas Family Code Section 32.003, Consent To Treatment By Child, (2011).
 64. Texas Administrative Code, Title 25, Part 1, Chapter 56, Rules §56.13 and §56.14, Texas Administrative Code, (2011).
 65. Texas Family Code, Section 261.101 Persons Required To Report, Texas Family Code, (2011).
 66. Texas Penal Code, Section 21.11 Indecency With A Child, Texas Penal Code, (2011).
 67. Franzini L, Marks E, Cromwell PF et al. Projected economic costs due to health consequences of teenagers' loss of confidentiality in obtaining reproductive health care services in Texas. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2004 December;158(12):1140-1146.
 68. Guttmacher Institute. State Facts about Title X and Family Planning: Texas. Washington, DC: Guttmacher Institute; 2011.
 69. The Annie E. Casey Foundation. KIDS Count Data Center. *The Annie E Casey Foundation* 2011.
<http://datacenter.kidscount.org/data/acrossstates>. Accessed December 14, 2010.
 70. US Census Bureau. 2007 Health Insurance Coverage Status for States. *US Census Bureau* 2010.
http://quarterhorse.dsd.census.gov/TheDataWeb_HotReport/servlet/HotReportEngineServlet?reportid=da23ea24e9527da8d86393d7fb7a07a0&emailname=saeb@census.gov&filename=sahie07_st.html

- Accessed December 14, 2010.
71. Texas DSHS. Annual Report on School-Based Health Centers Fiscal Year 2008. 2008.
 72. Markham CM, Tortolero SR, Escobar-Chaves SL, Parcel GS, Harrist R, Addy RC. Family connectedness and sexual risk-taking among urban youth attending alternative high schools. *Perspect Sex Reprod Health*. 2003;35(4):174-179.
 73. Lauritsen J, Swicegood C. The consistency of self-reported initiation of sexual activity. *Fam Plann Perspect*. 1997;29(5):215-221.
 74. US Dept of Health and Human Services. *Healthy People 2020*. Washington, DC: Dept of Health and Human Services. <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topicsobjectives2020/objectiveslist.aspx?topicid=13>. Published 2011.
 75. Winnable Battles. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Web site. <http://www.cdc.gov/WinnableBattles>. Accessed May 30, 2011.
 76. Office of Adolescent Health. Overview of the Teen Pregnancy Prevention Research Evidence Review. Washington, DC: US Dept of Health and Human Services. <http://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/prevention/research/index.html>. Published 2011. Accessed May 30, 2011.