A Cbfa1-dependent genetic pathway controls bone formation beyond embryonic development.

P Ducy
M Starbuck
M Priemel
J Shen
G Pinero

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/uthdb_docs

Part of the Dentistry Commons

Recommended Citation
Citation Information: Ducy, P; Starbuck, M; Priemel, M; Shen, J; Pinero, G; Geoffroy, V; Amling, M; and Karsenty, G, "A Cbfa1-dependent genetic pathway controls bone formation beyond embryonic development." (1999). Genes & Development DigitalCommons@TMC, School of Dentistry, Journal Articles. Paper 21. https://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/uthdb_docs/21

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Dentistry at DigitalCommons@TMC. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal Articles by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@TMC. For more information, please contact digcommons@library.tmc.edu.
A Cbfa1-dependent genetic pathway controls bone formation beyond embryonic development
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The molecular mechanisms controlling bone extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition by differentiated osteoblasts in postnatal life, called hereafter bone formation, are unknown. This contrasts with the growing knowledge about the genetic control of osteoblast differentiation during embryonic development. Cbfa1, a transcriptional activator of osteoblast differentiation during embryonic development, is also expressed in differentiated osteoblasts postnatally. The perinatal lethality occurring in Cbfa1-deficient mice has prevented so far the study of its function after birth. To determine if Cbfa1 plays a role during bone formation we generated transgenic mice overexpressing Cbfa1 DNA-binding domain (ΔCbfa1) in differentiated osteoblasts only postnatally. ΔCbfa1 has a higher affinity for DNA than Cbfa1 itself, has no transcriptional activity on its own, and can act in a dominant-negative manner in DNA cotransfection assays. ΔCbfa1-expressing mice have a normal skeleton at birth but develop an osteopenic phenotype thereafter. Dynamic histomorphometric studies show that this phenotype is caused by a major decrease in the bone formation rate in the face of a normal number of osteoblasts thus indicating that once osteoblasts are differentiated Cbfa1 regulates their function. Molecular analyses reveal that the expression of the genes expressed in osteoblasts and encoding bone ECM proteins is nearly abolished in transgenic mice, and ex vivo assays demonstrated that ΔCbfa1-expressing osteoblasts were less active than wild-type osteoblasts. We also show that Cbfa1 regulates positively the activity of its own promoter, which has the highest affinity Cbfa1-binding sites characterized. This study demonstrates that beyond its differentiation function Cbfa1 is the first transcriptional activator of bone formation identified to date and illustrates that developmentally important genes control physiological processes postnatally.
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Bone extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition or bone formation by differentiated osteoblasts starts late during development and lasts throughout life. This is a totally different process than bone development, which is characterized by cell commitment and differentiation. The bone ECM contains two types of proteins: the collagens, mostly type I collagen, which account for 90% of the bone matrix proteins (Gehron-Robey 1996), and the non-collagenous proteins, including osteocalcin, osteopontin, and bone sialo protein (Hauschka et al. 1989; Bianco et al. 1991; Denhardt and Guo 1993). Postnatally the production of bone ECM by osteoblasts must be regulated tightly to allow skeleton growth to occur and to replace bone resorbed by the osteoclasts throughout life (Ott 1996). Defective bone formation by the osteoblasts is at the origin of crippling and frequent genetic diseases such as osteogenesis imperfecta (Prockop and Kivirikko 1984; Byers 1990; Rowe and Shapiro 1998), and of acquired diseases like osteoporosis, the most frequent metabolic bone disease (Kleerekoper and Avioli 1998). In the United States only, >1 million osteoporotic fractures are diagnosed each year, resulting in substantial morbidity and mortality. This rate, which is expected to accelerate because of the unprecedented increase in life expectancy, exemplifies the importance of identifying molecular regulators of bone formation.

Cbfa1 is the only osteoblast-specific transcription factor identified to date. Molecular and genetic evidence have demonstrated that it acts as an activator of osteo-
blast differentiation during embryonic development in mouse and human. Indeed, Cbfa1 is expressed in cells of the osteoblastic lineage during development, it regulates osteoblast-specific expression of Osteocalcin and Osteopontin, can induce osteoblastic differentiation of nonosteoblastic cells [Ducy et al. 1997], and patients heterozygous for mutations or deletions of CBFA1 develop cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD) [Lee et al. 1997; Mundlos et al. 1997]. Likewise, inactivation of Cbfa1 in mice leads to a total absence of osteoblasts in homozygous mutant animals, and to a CCD phenotype in heterozygous mutant animals [Komori et al. 1997; Otto et al. 1997]. Thus Cbfa1 is an indispensable regulator of osteoblast differentiation that fulfills a function dominant to and nonredundant with the function of any other gene product.

This progress in our understanding of osteoblast differentiation during development has left unanswered a major question of skeleton biology, namely: What are the molecules controlling bone formation once osteoblast differentiation has occurred? This lack of knowledge about the regulation of bone formation contrasts also with the growing body of information regarding the control of osteoclastic bone resorption [Soriano et al. 1991; Simonet et al. 1997; Bucay et al. 1998; Lacey et al. 1998; Yasuda et al. 1998].

Two correlative arguments suggest that Cbfa1 may be involved in postnatal bone formation. First, Cbfa1 is expressed at high levels in osteoblasts after birth [Ducy et al. 1997]. Second, it regulates the in vitro and in vivo expression of Osteocalcin [Ducy et al. 1997; Frendo et al. 1998], a gene virtually not expressed before birth and that is the hallmark of the differentiated osteoblast phenotype [Owen et al. 1990; Stein et al. 1990; Aubin and Liu 1996]. For these reasons, we decided to test whether Cbfa1 is a determinant of bone formation by differentiated osteoblasts postnatally. This question could not be answered in vivo until now because the deletion of Cbfa1 leads to perinatal lethality in mice [Komori et al. 1997; Otto et al. 1997], and no juvenile or more severe osteoporosis has been described in CCD patients. The observation that haploinsufficiency at the Cbfa1 locus causes CCD suggests that if Cbfa1 controls bone formation postnatally it should be possible to demonstrate this by altering its level of expression and/or its function postnatally. The availability of a cell-specific and stage-specific promoter, such as the Osteocalcin promoter that is not active before birth, provides us with an excellent tool to address this question.

We show here, through a time-specific, cell-specific, and stage-specific inhibition-of-function experiment that Cbfa1 is required for bone formation by differentiated osteoblasts after birth. By controlling its own expression positively Cbfa1 is at the top of a genetic cascade regulating bone ECM deposition. inhibition of this autoregulatory loop in differentiated osteoblasts results in an osteopenic phenotype caused by the near abolition of expression of ECM-related genes, including type I collagen-encoding genes, without any overt effect on osteoblast differentiation. These results uncover a transcriptional pathway governing bone formation by differentiated osteoblasts and identify Cbfa1 as the first transcriptional activator of this process.

Results

\( \Delta \)Cbfa1 inhibits Cbfa1 transactivation function in a dominant-negative manner

Cbfa1 is one of the three known mouse Cbfa genes [Bae et al. 1992, 1995; Ogawa et al. 1993; Ducy et al. 1997]. In Western blot analysis an antiserum that recognizes all three Cbfa proteins detected only Cbfa1 in calvaria osteoblasts [Fig. 1A, lane 5], thus indicating that Cbfa1 is the only Cbfa protein detectable in osteoblasts, which is consistent with the phenotype observed when the gene is deleted.

It has been shown that the DNA-binding domain of runt-related proteins has no detectable transactivation function on its own [Bae et al. 1994; Aranson et al. 1997; Tracey et al. 1998]. Consistent with these observations, Cbfa1 DNA-binding domain (\( \Delta \)Cbfa1) failed to transactivate a reporter vector containing six OSE2 elements, the Cbfa1-binding sites [Ducy and Karsenty 1995] in COS cells that do not express any Cbfa genes [Kurokawa et al. 1996] [Fig. 1B]. In DNA cotransfection experiments performed in ROS 17/2.8 osteoblastic cells that do express Cbfa1 [Ducy and Karsenty 1995], increasing amount of \( \Delta \)Cbfa1 led to a threefold decrease of activity of a reporter vector containing a 160-bp Osteocalcin promoter fragment fused to the luciferase (\( \text{lac} \)) reporter gene [Fig. 1C]. The activity of this Osteocalcin promoter fragment is partly dependent on the presence of one OSE2 element [Ducy and Karsenty 1995; Frendo et al. 1998]. These results demonstrate that \( \Delta \)Cbfa1 has no transactivation ability on its own but can impair Cbfa1 transactivation function.

To define the molecular basis of this inhibition of transcription we performed DNA-binding assays with wild-type and truncated recombinant proteins. In the condition of electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) GST–\( \Delta \)Cbfa1 had a higher affinity for OSE2 than the full-length GST–Cbfa1 protein [Fig. 1D]. Moreover the \( \Delta \)Cbfa1–DNA complex was far more stable than the Cbfa1–DNA complex [Fig. 1E]. These data indicate that the dominant-negative function of \( \Delta \)Cbfa1 is because of its higher affinity for OSE2, and the higher stability of the \( \Delta \)Cbfa1–DNA complex.

Absence of skeletal abnormalities in newborn mice expressing \( \Delta \)Cbfa1 in a differentiated osteoblast-specific manner

Osteocalcin is the most osteoblast-specific gene known [Hauschka et al. 1989]. Its expression is not only cell-specific but also time- and stage-specific. Indeed, its expression is virtually absent before birth, is restricted to differentiated osteoblasts able to produce a bone ECM, and is absent in osteoblast progenitors [Owen et al. 1990; Stein et al. 1990; Aubin and Liu 1996]. Recently, we have
shown that a 1.3-kb fragment of the mouse Osteocalcin (Frendo et al. 1998). This natal-specific expression to a reporter gene in vivo constitutes a unique resource to address osteoblast function without affecting osteoblast differentiation.

To determine whether Cbfa1 affects osteoblastic bone formation in vivo postnatally we generated a construct containing Cbfa1 coding sequence under the control of the 1.3-kb OG2 promoter (Fig. 2A) and used it to generate transgenic mice. In these transgenic animals, called thereafter Cbfa1-expressing mice, Cbfa1 was expressed only in bone (Fig. 2B). Two different lines of transgenic mice were generated, both lines had a similar level of expression of the transgene (Fig. 2C) and identical results were obtained with their progenies. The Cbfa1-expressing mice were phenotypically normal at birth; had a normally mineralized skeleton, an indication that their osteoblasts are functional; had no features characteristic of a CCD phenotype; and there was no perinatal lethality (Fig. 2D). The bone histology of these mice was also normal at birth [data not shown].

Postnatal osteopenia without osteoblast depletion in Cbfa1-expressing mice

Within 2 weeks after birth the Cbfa1-expressing mice developed a short stature phenotype (Fig. 3A). X-ray analysis showed shorter and more lucent long bones with thinner cortices, three features indicative of bone loss (Fig. 3B,C). The short stature phenotype was probably not caused by chondrocyte abnormalities as the growth plate cartilage appeared histologically and histomorphometrically indistinguishable between wild-type and Cbfa1-expressing animals [Fig. 3D and growth plate thickness: wild type = 228.26 ± 11.86 µm; Cbfa1 = 232.97 ± 26.76 µm]. Conventional histology in both long bones and vertebrae showed a decreased amount of trabecular bone and thinner cortices, confirming the existence of an osteopenia affecting the whole bone in the Cbfa1-expressing mice (Fig. 3E–G). Insection of the cells at high magnification following tolu- idine blue staining showed that the osteoblasts had the same morphology in wild-type and Cbfa1-expressing mice. However, the thickness of the osteoid layer was significantly thinner in Cbfa1-expressing mice (Fig. 3H).

To determine if this osteopenic phenotype was attributable to a functional defect of differentiated osteoblasts or to a decrease of the osteoblast number, static and dynamic histomorphometric analyses were performed over a 10-day period (day 10 to 20 postnatal) using tetracyclin/ calcein, a marker of bone formation (Parfitt et al. 1987). This dynamic analysis aims at recording all the histological abnormalities existing during this period. As shown by the distance between the tetracyclin and calcein labels there was a major decrease (three- to fourfold) in the amount of newly formed bone in the Cbfa1-expressing mice compared to wild-type animals (Fig. 4A). The rate of bone formation was indeed 70% reduced in Cbfa1-expressing mice (Fig. 4B) and the osteoid thickness, an indicator of bone matrix deposition in the presence of an unchanged mineralization rate, was decreased significantly (Fig. 4C). Likewise, the bone volume was reduced in the Cbfa1-expressing mice (Fig. 4D).

Figure 1. Characterization of ΔCbfa1 activity in vitro. [A] Cbfa1 is the only detectable Cbfa expressed in osteoblasts. Western blot analysis of nuclear extracts from COS cells transfected with the empty vector (−, lane 1), a Cbfa3 [lane 2], a Cbfa2 [lane 3], or a Cbfa1 (lane 3) expression vector or from calvaria [lane 5]. The antiserum used recognized all three Cbfa proteins [indicated by white asterisks]. [B] DNA cotransfections in COS cells. Overexpression of ΔCbfa1 does not transactivate an OSE2–luc chimeric promoter construct, whereas overexpression of Cbfa1 does. [−] cotransfection with the empty vector. [C] Osteocalcin 160-bp promoter–luc activation by endogenous Cbfa1 is inhibited by cotransfection of increasing amounts of ΔCbfa1 in ROS 17/2.8 osteoblastic cells. [DBD] DNA-binding domain. [D] Different affinity of Cbfa1 and ΔCbfa1 for OSE2. DNA competition in EMSA were performed with identical amount of GST–Cbfa1 or GST–ΔCbfa1, labeled OSE2, and increasing amounts of un- labeled OSE2 oligonucleotide [comp.]. Incubation time was 5 min. [E] High stability of the ΔCbfa1–OSE2 complex. EMSA was performed with a fixed quantity of protein [GST–Cbfa1 or GST–ΔCbfa1], labeled OSE2, and a 100-fold molar excess of cold OSE2. Incubation times in presence of competitor were as indicated.

Figure 2. Characterization of Cbfa1 and osteoblast function.
More importantly, this decrease in the bone formation rate occurred while there was no decrease in osteoblast and osteocyte numbers in the ΔCbfa1-expressing mice (Fig. 4E–G). This indicates that the osteopenia induced by the osteoblast-specific expression of ΔCbfa1 was caused by a functional failure of the osteoblasts, not by an absence or a depletion of the osteoblast population as in the Cbfa1-deficient mice. The number of osteoclasts was also identical in wild-type and transgenic animals (Fig. 4H,I).

Taken together these results indicate that ΔCbfa1 was interfering with a second function of Cbfa1, namely the maintenance of bone formation by differentiated osteoblasts.

**ΔCbfa1-expressing osteoblasts are less active than wild-type osteoblasts**

When put in culture osteoblastic cells synthesize type I collagen and alkaline phosphatase whose presence can be detected by colorimetric assays [Bancroft and Stevens 1996]. Furthermore, following the synthesis of this collagen-rich matrix these cells have the unique ability to form compact nodules that become mineralized eventually [Aubin and Liu 1996; Stein et al. 1996]. Thus, the size and the very existence of nodules is a reflection of the amount of extracellular matrix surrounding the cells. To determine whether the cells identified in ΔCbfa1-expressing mice as osteoblasts by morphologic criteria were bona fide osteoblasts we established osteoblast culture from newborn calvaria of wild-type and ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. Cells from the transgenic animals did form a collagen-rich matrix and mineralized nodules in-

---

**Figure 2.** Generation of ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. [A] Representation of the ΔCbfa1 transgene. [DBD] DNA-binding domain. [B] RT–PCR showing ΔCbfa1 bone-specific expression in transgenic mice [arrow]. Amplification of exon 2 of Hprt was used as an internal control for cDNA quality and PCR efficiency in each lane [bottom]. [C] RT–PCR analysis comparing ΔCbfa1 level of expression in the two independent transgenic lines analyzed in this study [F21 and F28, top]. RNAs were extracted from long bones of 1-month-old mice. Amplification of exon 2 of Hprt was used as an internal control for cDNA quality and PCR efficiency in each lane [bottom]. PCR reactions were performed in presence of [32P]dCTP. [D] Skeletal preparation of newborn wild-type and ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. Note the presence of normally developed skull [arrowhead] and clavicles [arrow], excluding a CCD phenotype. No delayed or impaired mineralization is visible at that stage unlike the case in the Cbfa1-deficient mice [Komori et al. 1997; Otto et al. 1997].

---

**Figure 3.** Radiological and histological analysis of ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. [A–C] X-ray analysis of 2-week-old wild-type [WT] and ΔCbfa1-expressing mice [ΔCbfa1]. ΔCbfa1-expressing mice are smaller and have shorter long bones with decreased cortical thickness [arrows]. [D] Histologic analysis of the growth plate cartilage in tibia of 3-week-old wild-type and ΔCbfa1-littermates. [E–H] Histologic analysis of cancellous [E,F,H] and cortical [G] bone in 3-week-old wild-type and ΔCbfa1-expressing littermates. [E] Longitudinal sections through the tibia at the metaphysis showing mineralized trabecular bone stained in black by von Kossa and osteoid and cartilage counterstained in pink with Kernechtrot. Brackets indicate the extent of calcified bone matrix. [F] Longitudinal sections through the tibia at the metaphysis stained with toluidine blue showing the decreased amount of bone trabeculae (arrows) present in the ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. [G] Longitudinal sections through the tibia stained by toluidine blue. Cortical thickness are indicated by white arrows. [H] High magnification visualization of the osteoblasts [white arrows] present at the surface of the tibia trabeculae showing that they appear morphologically identical in 3-week-old wild-type and ΔCbfa1-expressing littermates. Note that the osteoid layer present below the osteoblasts and staining light blue [brackets] is significantly thinner in ΔCbfa1-expressing mice compared to wild-type littermates.
indicating that they were functional osteoblasts (Fig. 5A–C). However these nodules appeared smaller and less compact than the one observed when using cells from wild-type animals (Fig. 5A,B). The ECM synthetized by the ΔCbfa1-expressing cells stained positively for collagen and cellular alkaline phosphatase was detected but again these stainings were weaker than the one observed in wild-type nodules (Fig. 5B–D). Finally, von Kossa’s staining for mineral showed that the ECM surrounding the nodules generated using ΔCbfa1-expressing cells was mineralized poorly compared to the one surrounding the wild-type nodules (Fig. 5E,F). Thus, the results of these

Figure 4. Bone formation and cell parameters in ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. (A) Fluorescent micrographs of the two labeled mineralization fronts at the mid-diaphysis of the tibiae of 3-week-old wild-type (WT) and ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. The brackets between the two labelings, tetracyclin at the top and calcein at the bottom, indicate the amount of newly formed bone. Note the three- to four-fold decrease in the distance between the two labeled areas in ΔCbfa1-expressing mice (B–D). Comparison of bone formation parameters in 3-week-old wild-type and ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. Measurement of bone formation rate (B), osteoid thickness (C), and trabecular bone volume [bone volume over total volume, BV/TV] (D). (E–I) Comparison of cell surfaces and numbers in 3-week-old wild-type and ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. [ObS] osteoblast surface; [BIS] bone surface; [NOb] number of osteoblasts; [Bpm] bone perimeter (mm2); [Ocs] osteocyte surface; [NoOc] number of osteoclasts. Bars represent means ± S.E.M. (*) Statistically significant difference between wild-type and transgenic mice groups (*) P < 0.05; n = 6.

Figure 5. Functional analysis of the osteoblasts derived from ΔCbfa1 mice. Ex vivo culture of osteoblasts derived from calvaria of newborn wild-type and ΔCbfa1-expressing mice were analyzed after 20 days in presence of mineralization medium [see Materials and Methods]. (A) Goldner trichrome staining showing that the ΔCbfa1-expressing osteoblasts form smaller and fewer nodules [arrows]. (B) von Gieson staining for collagen [pink/purple color]. Note the lighter aspect of the matrix secreted by the ΔCbfa1-expressing osteoblasts. (C) von Kossa staining showing that the bone matrix secreted by the ΔCbfa1-expressing osteoblasts is mineralized poorly compared to wild-type cultures. (D) Higher magnification of the culture presented in B. There are fewer and smaller collagen-secreting nodules in cultures derived from ΔCbfa1-expressing osteoblasts than from their wild-type littermates. (E) High magnification of the culture presented in F, photographed in polarized light. The mineralized nodules (black) present in cultures derived from ΔCbfa1-expressing mice are smaller than the one derived from their wild-type littermates.
functional ex vivo assays indicate that the bones of the ΔCbfal-expressing mice did contain functional osteoblasts but that these cells were less active than wild-type osteoblasts. This is in full agreement with the result of the histomorphometric analysis showing a normal number of osteoblasts but a decreased bone formation rate.

**Decreased expression of the genes encoding the main bone matrix proteins in ΔCbfal-expressing mice**

In the presence of a normal number of osteoblasts an osteopenia could develop only if each osteoblast is producing less of the various proteins required to form the bone ECM. Accordingly, the expression of the two genes encoding osteocalcin (OG1 and OG2) [Desbois et al. 1994], the most abundant noncollagenous protein [Hauschka et al. 1989], of Bone sialo protein, another osteoblast-specific gene [Bianco et al. 1991], and of Osteopontin [Denhardt and Guo 1993], were all decreased severely in 2- and 4-week-old ΔCbfal-expressing mice (Figs. 6A and 7C, below). These proteins account for only 10% of the protein content of the bone ECM, therefore the decreased expression of these genes alone could not explain the osteopenic phenotype of the ΔCbfal-expressing mice. Type I collagen is the most abundant constituent of the bone matrix, accounting for 90% of the bone protein content. It has been largely demonstrated that its integrity is required for proper bone formation and for skeleton growth postnatally [Prockop and Kivirikko 1984; Byers 1990; Rowe and Shapiro 1998]. The expression of α1(I) and α2(I) collagen, the two genes encoding type I collagen, was decreased markedly in ΔCbfal-expressing mice compared to wild-type littermates [Fig. 6A]. The expression of Hprt was not affected in the ΔCbfal-expressing mice indicating that the effects we observed were specific. The expression of α1(I) collagen was not reduced in skin fibroblasts of the ΔCbfal-expressing mice [Fig. 6B] further demonstrating the specificity of the abnormalities observed in the bones of these animals.

**Evolution of the phenotype of ΔCbfal-expressing mice**

The activity of the OG2 promoter fragment used to drive ΔCbfal is dependent partly for its expression on two OSE2 elements [Ducy and Karsenty 1995; Frendo et al. 1998]. Given the higher affinity of ΔCbfal than Cbfal for DNA, one would predict that at one point the level of expression of the transgene should decrease. Its expression should not be abolished because of the presence in this promoter fragment of OSE1, another osteoblast-specific element [Fig. 7A]. Consistent with this model we could observe a histological phenotype for the first 4 weeks of life of the ΔCbfal-expressing animals [Fig. 7B]. Beyond 4 weeks the phenotype faded and the mice had a normal life span [Fig. 7B]. Likewise, the expression of the bone matrix genes was nearly abolished at 2 weeks of age, decreased at 4 weeks of age, and was normal in older animals [Figs. 6A and 7C]. As expected, the expression of the transgene peaked at 2 weeks and decreased thereafter [Fig. 7D].

**Down-regulation of Cbfal expression in ΔCbfal-expressing mice**

The near complete abolition of osteoblast-specific gene expression observed in the ΔCbfal-expressing mice could be explained by a combination of several mechanisms: The level of expression of the transgene could be extremely high, ΔCbfal could bind to DNA with a higher affinity than full-length Cbfal, and/or Cbfal could regulate its own expression. Comparison of the transgene and endogenous gene levels of expression revealed that they were similar [Fig. 8A], thus ruling out the first mechanism. As shown in Figure 1, D and E, ΔCbfal bound DNA with a higher affinity for OSE2 than the full-length Cbfal, and the ΔCbfal–DNA complex was more stable than the Cbfal–DNA complex. This provided one molecular explanation for the progression of the phenotype.

To search for additional explanations we studied endogenous Cbfal expression in ΔCbfal-expressing mice. Endogenous Cbfal expression was nearly abolished in every transgenic mouse analyzed at 2 weeks of age [Fig. 8B], implying that Cbfal controls its own expression. Sequence inspection of the 5’ region of the Cbfal mouse and human genes showed the presence of three conserved consensus OSE2 sites that were analyzed further [Fig. 8C]. In EMSA, osteoblast nuclear extracts bound to oligonucleotides containing these OSE2 elements, an antibody against Cbfal caused an upward shift of the pro-

---

Figure 6. Osteoblast gene expression in ΔCbfal-expressing mice. [A] RT–PCR analysis of gene expression in long bones of 2-week-old wild-type [wt] and ΔCbfal-expressing mice. Amplification of exon 2 of Hprt was used as an internal control for cDNA quality and PCR efficiency in each lane. Expression of Osteocalcin, Bsp, and Osteopontin is virtually abolished, whereas expression of α1(I)collagen is reduced markedly, and the expression of α2(I)collagen is reduced moderately. [B] Northern blot analysis of α1(I)collagen expression in skin of 2-week-old wild-type and ΔCbfal-expressing mice. The Gapdh cDNA was used to reprobe this Northern blot to assess equal loadings in the different lanes (bottom).
tein–DNA complex (Fig. 8D), and recombinant Cbfa1 bound to the wild-type oligonucleotides but not to their mutated counterparts (Fig. 8E). Finally, in DNA cotransfection experiments exogenous Cbfa1 transactivated a Cbfa1 promoter–luc chimeric gene containing wild-type OSE2 elements but not a similar construct containing mutant OSE2 elements (Fig. 8F). Taken together these experiments, along with the near abolition of Cbfa1 expression in D Cbfa1-expressing mice, demonstrate that those elements are bona fide OSE2s, and that Cbfa1 is a positive regulator of its own expression.

Remarkably, quantitative DNA-binding assays performed with decreasing amounts of recombinant Cbfa1 and a fixed quantity of probes demonstrated that Cbfa1 had a 10- to 100-fold higher affinity for the OSE2 elements in Cbfa1 than for any other known OSE2 element (Fig. 9). It is interesting to note that the OSE2 site with the lowest affinity for Cbfa1 is located in a2(I) collagen, the gene whose expression was the least affected in the D Cbfa1-expressing mice (Fig. 6A). This 100:1 order of magnitude higher affinity of Cbfa1 for the sites present in the Cbfa1 promoter than for any other sites most likely contributed to the severity of the phenotype observed in the D Cbfa1-expressing mice and emphasizes the importance of this autoregulatory loop as a critical means through which Cbfa1 controls structural gene expression and thereby bone formation by differentiated osteoblasts.

Discussion

Our study uncovers a genetic cascade with Cbfa1 at its origin that controls bone formation after osteoblast differentiation is achieved. It illustrates that developmentally important genes may have additional and critical roles beyond embryonic development.

Bone formation is a different process than bone development

Bone ECM deposition by differentiated osteoblasts, or bone formation, occurs throughout life and fulfills several physiological functions after birth. During postnatal development, bone formation is required during endochondral ossification to replace the cartilagenous templates near the growth plate cartilage thereby ensuring proper longitudinal bone growth. During adulthood bone formation in the context of bone remodeling must be regulated tightly to replace the bone resorbed by the osteoclasts to maintain a near constant bone mass. Thus, any qualitative or quantitative defect of the osteoblasts, inherited or acquired, could result in short stature, bone fragility, and/or osteoporosis, the most frequent metabolic bone disease. These multiple requirements underscore the need to understand the molecular basis of bone formation by the osteoblasts and to identify molecule(s) regulating this function.
Mouse and human genetic studies have demonstrated that the integrity of structural proteins of the skeleton is critical for a proper bone formation. Mutations in either one of the two genes encoding type I collagen cause osteogenesis imperfecta (OI), a disease characterized by a decrease in bone formation and whose severity can vary from lethal forms to osteoporosis-like phenotypes [Prockop and Kivirikko 1984; Byers 1990]. The potential severity of the OI phenotype is consistent with the abundance of type I collagen in bone matrix. Likewise, genetic analyses have uncovered the role of noncollagenous proteins such as alkaline phosphatase, Bone sialo protein, Osteocalcin, Osteopontin, and Fibrillin, among others, as regulators of bone matrix deposition, matrix mineralization, osteoclast function, and tensile strength of the bones [J.E. Aubin, pers. comm.; Ducy et al. 1996; Pereira et al. 1997; Rittling et al. 1998]. Taken together, these molecules are the effectors of the osteoblasts’ function. However, what remains to be identified is regulator(s) of osteoblast function.

Cbfa1 is a regulator of osteoblast function

Because of its expression in differentiated osteoblasts postnatally and its role in regulating Osteocalcin [Ducy et al. 1997], the latest gene to be expressed in osteoblasts [Owen et al. 1990; Stein et al. 1990; Aubin and Liu 1996], we hypothesized that Cbfa1 could regulate bone formation and used a dominant-negative approach to test this hypothesis. Three lines of evidence indicate that Cbfa1 is the only Cbfa protein present in osteoblasts in vivo. First, in situ hybridization and Western analyses failed to detect Cbfa2 or Cbfa3 in osteoblasts [Simeone et al. 1995; Wijmenga et al. 1995; Ducy et al. 1997; this study].
Second, we and others have failed to identify new Cbfa genes expressed in the skeleton. Third and more importantly, deletion of the Cbfa1 gene led to a complete absence of osteoblast in homozygous mutant mice indicating that no other transcription factor can fulfill Cbfa1’s regulatory function during osteoblast differentiation (Komori et al. 1997; Otto et al. 1997). Thus, the phenotype observed in the ΔCbfa1-expressing mice is caused by a specific inhibition of Cbfa1 function.

To demonstrate a second function for Cbfa1 in differentiated osteoblasts, distinct from its function during osteoblast differentiation, we took advantage of the Osteocalcin promoter which is active only in differentiated osteoblasts able to produce a matrix (Owen et al. 1990; Stein et al. 1990; Aubin and Liu 1996; Frendo et al. 1998). The results of this study demonstrate that Cbfa1 controls bone formation by differentiated osteoblasts. Cbfa1 is at the top of a genetic cascade controlling positively its own expression and the expression of the major osteoblast-specific and osteoblast-enriched genes through its binding to multiple OSE2 elements present in these genes (Fig. 9). This autoregulatory loop of Cbfa1 expression is so critical for proper bone formation because Cbfa1 has a much higher affinity for its binding sites in Cbfa1 than for any other known binding sites. It is possible that other OSE2 elements present elsewhere in Cbfa1 could play an additional role in the autoregulation of Cbfa1 expression and in the severity of the phenotype of the ΔCbfa1-expressing mice. This autoregulatory loop of Cbfa1 expression could also be important during development to maintain osteoblast differentiation once it is initiated. Our findings distinguish Cbfa1 from other molecules such as the bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) [Hogan 1996] that can induce bone formation by recapitulating all the cell differentiation events occurring during skeleton development. Instead, Cbfa1 acts as a maintenance factor of the differentiated osteoblasts by simply regulating the rate of bone matrix deposition by already differentiated cells.

In light of the absence of reported juvenile or more severe osteoporosis in CCD patients the phenotype we observed is unexpected. This is likely because of the more severe decrease of expression of the genes encoding bone ECM proteins, notably type I collagen, in the ΔCbfa1-expressing mice compared to the heterozygous Cbfa1-deficient mice. Figure 10 summarizes the two aspects of Cbfa1 function: During embryonic development Cbfa1 controls cell differentiation along the osteoblastic pathway, postnatally Cbfa1 has an additional function, it controls directly bone matrix deposition by differentiated osteoblasts.

**Cbfa1 as a tool to study bone remodeling**

This second function of Cbfa1 has important biological implications. First, some skeletal features observed in CCD patients like short stature, growth retardation, and abnormally shaped epiphyses and metaphyses (Marie and Santon 1898; Soule 1946) have long been viewed as proof that the CCD gene has a role during postnatal skeletal growth (Mundlos and Olsen 1997). These features can now be explained by the fact that Cbfa1 control bone formation by differentiated osteoblasts postnatally. Second, this study raises the possibility that mutations in the Cbfa1 gene that leave intact the DNA-binding domain of Cbfa1 but affect its transactivation function may result in slightly more severe postnatal manifestations in CCD patients. More generally, mutations affecting one of the transactivation domains of Cbfa1 [Thirunavukkarasu et al. 1998] could be at the origin of some form of genetically inherited low or high bone mass diseases. The ability of Cbfa1 to control the function of already differentiated osteoblasts, together with the fact that haploinsufficiency at the Cbfa1 locus is at the origin of CCD [Lee et al. 1997; Mundlos et al. 1997; Otto et al. 1997], raises the hypothesis that increasing Cbfa1 rate of transcription in elder individuals may be a way to prevent or to treat osteopenic diseases. This warrants further investigation of the already established regulation of Cbfa1 expression by growth factors and hormones [Ducy et al. 1997] (Fig. 10). Lastly, this study along with the recent characterization of the role of osteoprotegerin and its ligand during bone resorption [Simonet et al. 1997; Lacey et al. 1998; Yasuda et al. 1998] provides some of the molecular tools that are necessary to understand the mechanisms controlling the maintenance of a near constant bone mass through bone remodeling during adulthood.

**Figure 10.** Schematic representation of Cbfa1 function during and after embryonic development. (A) During embryogenesis Cbfa1 controls differentiation of a mesenchymal progenitor cell toward the osteoblastic lineage. (B) After birth, in fully differentiated osteoblasts, Cbfa1 controls the rate of bone formation by regulating directly the expression of the bone ECM-encoding genes. Moreover, Cbfa1 regulates its own gene expression.
Beyond the involvement of Cbfa1 in bone formation a more general implication of these results is that other genes that play critical roles during embryonic development and that are also expressed after birth could also be involved during physiological processes postnatally. For instance, it is tempting to speculate that this function of Cbfa1 in cell physiology may be a feature shared by many transcriptional activators of cell differentiation; the easy-to-score nature of bone formation providing a favorable setting to uncover this aspect of their biology.

Materials and methods

DNA construction and generation of transgenic mice

For DNA transfections the 396-bp Ncol–HindIII fragment of Cbfa1 encoding the DNA-binding domain was cloned into the pEFBOS expression vector [Mizumisha and Nagata 1990]. ΔCbfa1 transgenic mice bear a fusion gene composed of a 1.3-kb fragment of the mouse OSG2 promoter [Ducy and Karsenty 1995] fused to Cbfa1 DNA-binding domain and the 3′ untranslated and polyA signal of the human G-CSF cDNA [Nagata et al. 1986]. Transgenic founders were identified by pronuclear injection according to standard techniques [Bonnerot and Nicolas 1993]. Genotype of transgenic animals was determined by PCR using as primers 5′-CGAGCAGCTGACGGAGAACAGC-3′ and 5′-ACGGTGGGGAAGACTGTCCTGCCTG-3′. To generate prokaryotic expression vectors the Acyl-HindII fragment and the Ncol–HindIII fragment of the Cbfa1 cDNA, encoding the full-length protein and the DNA-binding domain, respectively, were ligated in-frame with the GST-coding sequence of the pGEX-4T3 vector [Pharmacia]. Luc chimeric constructs were generated by cloning multimerized oligonucleotides or promoter fragments in the pA3luc vector [Goldberg et al. 1992]. Mutagenesis of the Cbfa1 promoter was performed by PCR amplification using as primers: 5′-TGAGGTCACAAACCACATGA-3′ and 5′-ATACCTGTGTCCCTCTGTGTGTTTG-TGAGCGCCA-3′.

Western blot analysis

The pCMV5 expression vector [Andersson et al. 1989] empty or containing the Osf2/Cbfa1 [Ducy et al. 1997], Cbfa2 [Bae et al. 1992], or Cbfa3 [Bae et al. 1995] cDNAs was transfected in COS cells. Nuclear extracts were prepared 24 hr later as described [Ducy and Karsenty 1995]. Nuclear extracts from mouse calvaria were prepared according Deryckere and Gannon [1994]. SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting were performed according standard procedures [Ausubel et al. 1995] using an antisera against the peptide SFFWDPSTSRRFSPPS and the ECL detection kit [Amersham].

DNA-binding assays

Labeling of oligonucleotide probes, EMSA, and supershift assays were done as described [Ducy and Karsenty 1995; Ducy et al. 1997]. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. GST-tagged proteins were enriched according to standard protocols [Ausubel et al. 1995]. Nuclear extracts were prepared as described previously [Ducy and Karsenty 1995]. Top-strand sequences of the double-stranded oligonucleotides used in EMSA were 5′-TGAGGTCACAAACCACATGA-3′; 5′-TTCTGTGGTCACAAAGAAGATGA-3′; 5′-CATTTTGATCACGGAGACCATAGTA-3′; 5′-TTCTGTGGTCACAAAGAAGATGA-3′; 5′-ACGGTGGGGAAGACTGTCCTGCCTG-3′; 5′-CACAAAGT-3′; 5′-TTCTGGCTTCAAGCA-3′; 5′-TGGGACGAGGACGAGGCAAGAGTTTC-3′; 5′-TTCTGTGGTCACAAAGAAGATGA-3′.
GTCCCCCATCTGGGTCCT-3' and 5'-GGGGGTTGAGACTCCT-GGGTCCTTAC-3', Hprt, 5'-GGTGGAGAACATCTCCTGAC-3' and 5'-AGCAGATGAAACCCAGTGA-3'; Osteocalcin, 5'-GTCCAGGGTGTGTCGTCGAAGCACC-3' and 5'-TTTCTGCCCTCCTGGTCTGAC-3'; BSP, 5'-GCCCGAGACTCCTGGAGTTA-3' and 5'-CCGCTTCTCCACCACCTGCTGCG-3'; Osteopontin, 5'-GTTCATCTCCTCCTGCCGCTGCT-3' and 5'-GTCATACTCCCTGGGTGGG-3'; α2(I) collagen, 5'-CCGTGTAAGATGTTGCCC-3' and 5'-CCAGGAGTTCATTGCCTGACC-3'; α2(I) collagen, 5'-TTGGTCTCTGTGCCC-3' and 5'-ATCTACGACC-3'; 5'-GGTGAACCTGCTGTTGCCCTCA-3'; Cbfα1, 5'-AGGCGCCGCCGCACGACAACCGCA-3' and 5'-ACCGTGGAGAAGACTTCTGGTCTGCTG-3'.

DNA transfections and luc assays

ROS 17/2.8 osteoblastic cells were transfected with increasing amount of expression vector, 5 µg of luc reporter vector and 2 µg of pSVβgal plasmid. COS cells were transfected with 5 µg of expression vector, 5 µg of luc reporter vector and 2 µg of pSVβgal plasmid. Transfection, luc assays, and β-galactosidase assays were performed as described (Ducy and Karsenty 1995). Data represent ratios of luc/β-galactosidase activities and values are means of six to eight independent transfection experiments; error bars represent standard deviation of the means.
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