Focus on ECGs: Case #23

Atrial Pacing in Wide-Complex Rhythm

Yang Yang, MD John Allison, MD Mark Pollet, MD Yochai Birnbaum, MD, FACC 78-year-old man who had a history of nonischemic cardiomyopathy (left ventricular ejection fraction, 25%) and incessant ventricular tachycardia (VT) despite having undergone 2 ablation procedures arrived at the emergency room with recurrent syncope. Figure 1 shows his 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG). We interrogated his dual-chamber implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) (Inogen; Boston Scientific), which was programmed in DDDR mode (dualchamber, sensed, rate-adaptive) to the following settings: lower rate limit, 75 beats/ min; upper tracking rate, 110 beats/min; upper sensor rate, 120 beats/min; rateresponsive atrioventricular-paced delay, 290 to 320 ms; ventricular refractory period, 230 to 250 ms; and VT detection zone, 150 beats/min.



Section Editors:

Yochai Birnbaum, MD, FACC Mohammad Saeed, MD, FACC James M. Wilson, MD

From: Section of Cardiology, Department of Medicine (Drs. Allison, Birnbaum, Pollet and Yang), Baylor College of Medicine; and Department of Cardiology (Drs. Birnbaum and Pollet), Texas Heart Institute and Baylor–St. Luke's Medical Center; Houston, Texas 77030

Address for reprints:

Yang Yang, MD, Section of Cardiology, Baylor College of Medicine, 6620 Main St., 11th fl., Houston, TX 77030

E-mail: yang.yang@bcm.edu

© 2020 by the Texas Heart[®] Institute, Houston Fig. 1

The ECG shows which of the following?

- A) Appropriate ICD function
- B) Ventricular tachycardia
- *C)* Atrial tachycardia with aberrancy
- D) Atrial flutter with aberrancy

See next page for the answer.

FOCUS ON ECGs: ANSWER #23

Answer

B) Ventricular tachycardia

The patient's ECG at presentation shows a notched downstroke in lead V_1 and an initial Q wave of >40 ms in lead aVR, which meets morphologic criteria for VT (Fig. 1).¹ However, the atypical behavior of the patient's ICD necessitated our ruling out other diagnoses, including supraventricular tachycardia with aberrant conduction, ICD malfunction, and inadequately programmed VT detection parameters.

Device interrogation revealed slow VT at 136 to 140 beats/min, below the threshold for VT detection (Fig. 2). Also revealed were sinus rhythm at 80 beats/min, atrial stimulus delivery at a variable rate, and occasional ventricular pacing. The underlying sinus rhythm was ignored because it fell within the postventricular atrial refractory period, triggering atrial pacing. The variable atrial pacing rate was due to a manufacturer-specific rate-smoothing algorithm designed to prevent sudden rate changes.² Because the sinus rate was slightly faster than half the VT rate, the dual rhythms slowly fell out of sync. The rate smoothing eventually enabled the ICD to sense sinus rhythm appropriately and inhibit atrial pacing. Ventricular pacing was triggered when the previous ventricular complex fell within the ventricular blanking window after atrial pacing.³

References

- Brugada P, Brugada J, Mont L, Smeets J, Andries EW. A new approach to the differential diagnosis of a regular tachycardia with a wide QRS complex. Circulation 1991;83(5):1649-59.
- Nikolidakis S, Luria D, Weisemberg JS, Tanami N, Lev DB, Gurevitz O, et al. Beneficial effect of Bradycardia Tachycardia Response (BTR) algorithm on VT detection in the presence of rate smoothing. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2012;35(5):586-91.
- Swerdlow CD, Asirvatham SJ, Ellenbogen KA, Friedman PA. Troubleshooting implantable cardioverter-defibrillator sensing problems II. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol 2015;8(1):212-20.

