The Texas Medical Center Library

DigitalCommons@TMC

The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences Dissertations and Theses (Open Access)

The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

4-2022

Clinical Impact of a Highly Sensitive Next-Generation Sequencing Measurable Residual Disease Assay in Patients with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Nicholas Short

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/utgsbs_dissertations

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

Short, Nicholas, "Clinical Impact of a Highly Sensitive Next-Generation Sequencing Measurable Residual Disease Assay in Patients with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia" (2022). *The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences Dissertations and Theses (Open Access)*. 1170.

https://digitalcommons.library.tmc.edu/utgsbs_dissertations/1170

This Thesis (MS) is brought to you for free and open access by the The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences at DigitalCommons@TMC. It has been accepted for inclusion in The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences Dissertations and Theses (Open Access) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@TMC. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@library.tmc.edu.



Clinical Impact of a Highly Sensitive Next-Generation Sequencing Measurable Residual

Disease Assay in Patients with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

by

Nicholas J. Short, MD

APPROVED:

DocuSigned by:

Marina Lonopleva

Marina Konopleva, MD, PhD Advisory Professor

DocuSigned by: the 350BCCFF4BD4D

Elias Jabbour, MD

DocuSigned by:

Farliad Kavandi

Farhad Ravandi, MD

— DocuSigned by:

Koichi Takahashi, MD

—DocuSigned by:

P

Sean Post, PhD

—DocuSigned by:

Xuelin Huang

Xuelin Huang, PhD

APPROVED:

MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Science

Dean, The University of Texas

Clinical Impact of a Highly Sensitive Next-Generation Sequencing Measurable Residual

Disease Assay in Patients with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

А

Thesis

Presented to the Faculty of

The University of Texas

MD Anderson Cancer Center UTHealth

Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences

in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Master of Science

by

Nicholas J. Short, MD

Houston, Texas

May 2022

Clinical Impact of a Highly Sensitive Next-Generation Sequencing Measurable Residual Disease Assay in Patients with Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia

Nicholas J. Short, MD*

Advisory Professor: Marina Konopleva, MD, PhD

Measurable residual disease (MRD) is highly prognostic for relapse and overall survival (OS) in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), although many patients with apparent "MRD negativity" by standard assays still relapse. We evaluated the clinical impact of a highly sensitive next-generation sequencing (NGS) MRD assay in 74 adults with ALL undergoing frontline therapy. Among remission samples that were MRD negative by multiparameter flow cytometry (MFC), 46% were MRD positive by the NGS assay. At the time of complete remission (CR), MRD negativity by MFC at a sensitivity of 1x10⁻⁴ and NGS at a sensitivity of 1x10⁻⁶ was achieved in 66% and 23% of patients, respectively. The 5-year cumulative incidence of relapse (CIR) among patients who achieved MRD negativity by MFC at CR was 29%; in contrast, no patients who achieved MRD negativity by NGS at CR relapsed, and their 5-year OS was 90%. NGS MRD negativity at CR was associated with significantly decreased risk of relapse compared with MRD positivity (5-year CIR: 0% versus 45%, respectively, P=0.04). Among patients who were MRD negative by MFC at the time of CR, detection of low levels of MRD by NGS identified patients who still had a significant risk of relapse (5year CIR: 39%). Early assessment of MRD using a highly sensitive NGS assay adds clinically relevant prognostic information to standard MFC-based approaches and can identify patients with ALL undergoing frontline therapy who have a very low risk of relapse and excellent longterm survival.

Table of Contents

Approval sheeti
Title pageii
Abstractiii
Table of contentsiv
List of illustrationsv
List of tablesvi
Introduction1
Methods2
Results
Discussion14
Conclusions19
Appendix20
Bibliography29
Vita

List of Illustrations

Figure 1. Flow chart of MRD response by MFC and NGS at various time points
Figure 2. Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10 ⁻⁶ sensitivity at the time of CR11
Figure 3. Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10 ⁻⁶ sensitivity and by MFC at the time of
CR12
Figure 4. Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10 ⁻⁶ sensitivity at the time of CR in non-
transplanted patients

List of Tables

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study population	n (N=74)7	

Introduction

Persistent or recurrent measurable residual disease (MRD) after initial therapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) is associated with high rates of relapses and poor overall survival (OS) across many retrospective and prospective studies, including in a large metaanalysis.(Patel et al., 2010, Gokbuget et al., 2012, Beldjord et al., 2014, Ribera et al., 2014, Dhedin et al., 2015, Bassan et al., 2016, Jabbour et al., 2017, Berry et al., 2017) While achievement of MRD negativity is associated with more favorable outcomes, this level of response is not fully protective against relapse. In many reports, patients who are "MRD negative" by conventional assays still have relapse rates of 25% and higher.(Gokbuget et al., 2012, Yilmaz et al., 2020, Berry et al., 2017) These relapses are presumably driven by very low levels of residual disease that persist below the level of detection of conventional assays and predispose to subsequent relapse. For patients with Philadelphia chromosome (Ph)negative ALL, the most common MRD assays in clinical practice are a patient-specific quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay to identify clonal immunoglobulin heavy chain (IGH) or T-cell receptor (TCR) rearrangements, which is used in many regions of Europe, and multiparameter flow cytometry (MFC), which is widely used in the United States and in other countries. (Short et al., 2019) Standard MFC assays are capable of detecting residual leukemia cells in 1 out of 10,000 cells (1x10⁻⁴ or 0.01%), although some \geq 8-color MFC assays may achieve a sensitivity of 10⁻⁵ with adequate cellular input.(Wood, 2006, Tembhare et al., 2019, Theunissen et al., 2017)

MRD assays that can achieve higher levels of sensitivity than conventional MFC and PCR will be better able to detect and quantify low levels of MRD and may further improve our prognostication in ALL. Highly sensitive next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based MRD assays have been developed that can detect MRD at a sensitivity of 1×10^{-6} , which is 1- to 2logs deeper than standard MFC or PCR assays.(Short et al., 2019) The clonoSEQ MRD assay is FDA-cleared for MRD detection in ALL, although the clinical utility of this assay compared with other standard MRD assays has not been robustly proven across clinical contexts. Previous studies have shown that this NGS assay can detect low levels of MRD in patients who were considered "MRD negative" by less-sensitive MFC or PCR technologies.(Kotrova et al., 2015, Pulsipher et al., 2015b) It has also been shown to outperform conventional MRD assays and improve risk stratification in childhood ALL(Kotrova et al., 2015, Wood et al., 2018) and in patients with ALL who have undergone allogeneic stem cell transplantation (alloSCT).(Pulsipher et al., 2015a, Kotrova et al., 2017) However, there are limited data supporting the use of a high sensitivity NGS-based MRD assay in adults with ALL undergoing frontline therapy and demonstrating its superiority compared with other standard of care MRD assays in this context. We therefore sought to evaluate the clinical utility of this NGS MRD assay in adult patients with newly diagnosed ALL, with the goal of determining whether achievement of MRD negativity with this high sensitivity assay could identify patients who have a very low risk of relapse. We also sought to determine whether this NGS assay could provide additional prognostic information to standard MFC-based MRD assessment.

Methods

Study design and participants

This is a retrospective study evaluating the prognostic impact of a highly sensitivity NGS MRD assay in patients with Ph-negative ALL. Eligible patients received frontline ALL therapy at our institution between 2/2012 and 7/2018 using a backbone of either hyper-CVAD

or mini-hyper-CVD and achieved complete remission (CR) as best response after 1 induction cycle. Patients were selected for inclusion based on the availability of a banked pretreatment bone marrow sample (for purposes of clonality determination) and at least 1 post-treatment remission bone marrow sample collected between 1 month and 4.5 months from the start of treatment (for purposes of MRD tracking). This study was conducted at a single academic center (The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center [UTMDACC]). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of UTMDACC and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Multiparameter flow cytometry for MRD assessment

MRD assessment was performed on fresh bone marrow aspiration samples using 6color multiparameter flow cytometry (MFC) as described previously.(Ravandi et al., 2016) MRD was defined in comparison with known patterns of antigen expression by normal maturing B-cell precursors (hematogones). Analysis was performed on at least 200,000 cells, and a minimum of 20 events were required to constitute a leukemic blast population. The analytic sensitivity of the assay was 0.01%. Per our institutional practice, MFC for MRD was assessed with each remission bone marrow, generally every 1-3 months for the first year of treatment. MFC MRD information was available from the clinical laboratory and was available to clinicians for decision-making.

NGS assay for MRD assessment

The clonoSEQ MRD assay (Adaptive Biotechnologies Co., Seattle, WA) is an NGSbased immunosequencing assay that was used for this analysis. Pretreatment genomic DNA

derived from stored bone marrow specimens was sequenced using multiplex PCR assays composed of primers targeted to the variable (V) genes (forward primers) and joining (J) genes (reverse primers) of the CDR3 region within immunoglobin (Ig) and TCR genes. For B-cell tumors, sequences of the IgH, IgK, and IgL receptor genes, as well as IgH/BCL1 and IgH/BCL2 translocations were assessed. For T-cell tumors, separate assays were used to assess the CDR3 regions of TCR-gamma (TCRG) and TCR-beta (TCGB). Because 30-50% of patients with B-cell ALL have a trackable TCR sequence(van der Velden et al., 2004), samples for which a trackable B-cell receptor sequence was not identified were reflexed for analysis of TCRG followed subsequently by TCRB. After the PCR and NGS steps were completed, the data was analyzed via proprietary algorithms to mitigate residual amplification bias that may be introduced by the PCR reactions.(Carlson et al., 2013, Faham et al., 2012) Using highthroughput NGS, the index sequence(s) identified in the diagnostic sample were specifically searched for and quantified, if present, in the remission bone marrow. This assay has an analytic sensitivity of 0.0001% (1x10⁻⁶), with a sensitivity that is dependent on the cellular input. To achieve 95% confidence that the frequency of the clone of interest is $<10^{-6}$ in a remission sample, an input of 1.9 million cells was required. NGS-based MRD assessment was performed retrospectively, and this information was therefore not available to clinicians for decision-making.

Response and outcome definitions

CR was defined as 5% or fewer blasts in the bone marrow with an absolute neutrophil $\geq 1 \times 10^{9}$ /L and a platelet count of $\geq 100 \times 10^{9}$ /L. Relapse was defined as recurrence of bone marrow blasts >5% or extramedullary AML. Cumulative incidence of relapse (CIR) was

calculated from the time of CR until relapse, censored for death in CR or if the patient was alive at last follow-up. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the time of treatment initiation until death from any cause, censored if the patient was alive at last follow-up. Survival estimates were not censored at the time of alloSCT.

Statistical methods

Patient characteristics were summarized using median (range) for continuous variables and frequencies (percentages) for categorical variables. To compare two groups, Fisher's exact test was performed for categorical variables, and Wilcoxon rank-sum test was performed for continuous variables. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate the probabilities for RFS and OS and differences between groups were evaluated with the log-rank test. The Gray's test was used to compare cumulative incidence probabilities between groups. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9.

Results

Calibration of ID samples

We identified 90 patients with Ph-negative ALL who underwent frontline ALL therapy at our institution and had adequate banked pre-treatment samples for NGS MRD analysis. Clonality of the baseline sample was able to be determined in 81 patients (overall calibration rate: 90%). Among these 81 baseline samples, 76 calibrated on BCR, 0 calibrated on TCGB and 5 calibrated on TCRG. The median number of dominant sequences per baseline sample was 2 (range, 1-9). The characteristics for patients who underwent successful ID calibration and those who did not are shown in **Supplemental Table 1.** The ID calibration rate was higher in patients with B-cell ALL than in those with T-cell ALL (96% versus 60%, respectively; P<0.001). Among the 6 patients with early T-cell precursor (ETP) ALL, only 3 (50%) calibrated, which may be reflective of the earlier stage of development of these blasts, which may manifest with absence of a clonal TCR.(Jain et al., 2016, You et al., 2015)

Study population characteristics

Among the 81 patients who underwent successful ID calibration, 74 had at least 1 remission sample for NGS MRD assessment. These 74 patients with complete ID and MRD information are the primary subjects of this analysis, and their baseline characteristics are shown in **Table 1**.

Characteristic	Value
Age (years)	45 [19-83]
ALL subtype	
B-cell	65 (88)
T-cell	9 (12)
WBC (x10 ⁹ /L)	4.1 [0.5-309.2]
Bone marrow blasts (%)	81 [24-99]
Karyotype	
Diploid	27 (36)
High hyperdiploidy	5 (7)
Low hypodiploidy / near triploidy	13 (18)
KMT2A rearranged	2(3)
Complex	$\frac{-(5)}{4(5)}$
Miscellaneous	21 (29)
Insufficient Metaphases	2(3)
Poor-risk cytogenetics	19/74 (26)
CRLF2 positive by flow cytometry	9/48 (19)
TP53-mutated	20/69 (29)
Frontline therapy	
Hyper-CVAD-based	51 (69)
Hyper-CVD-based	23 (31)

Table 1 – Baseline characteristics of study population (N=74).

Continuous variables are listed as median [range] and categorical variables as n (%) or n/N (%)

Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cell; hyper-CVAD, hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin and dexamethasone alternating with high-dose methotrexate and cytarabine; mini-hyper-CVD, hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine and dexamethasone alternating with methotrexate and cytarabine

Thirty-four patients (46%) had at least one poor-risk baseline feature, defined as either poor-risk cytogenetics, CRLF2 positivity by MFC, or a *TP53* mutation. Fifty-one patients (69%) received a hyper-CVAD-based regimen and 23 patients (31%) received a lower-intensity mini-hyper-CVD-based regimen as frontline ALL therapy. Specific treatment regimens are shown in **Supplemental Table 2.** The median duration of follow-up for the entire study population is 64 months (range 17-103 months). Twenty-three pts (31%) underwent

alloSCT in first remission. At last follow-up, 18 patients (25%) had relapsed and 26 patients (35%) had died.

Correlation of MFC MRD and NGS MRD

The rates of MRD negativity at 3 different time points—at CR (i.e. after the first induction course, or 3-4 weeks into therapy), at 1.5-3 months from the start of treatment, and at 3.5-4.5 months from the start of treatment—are shown in **Figure 1**.

Figure 1 – Flow chart of MRD response by MFC and NGS at various time points.



Forty-three patients had an MFC and NGS MRD sample available at CR, 46 patients had samples available at 1.5-3 months, and 34 patients had samples available at 3.5-4.5 months. The rate of MRD negativity by MFC was 72%, 72%, and 85%, respectively, at these 3 time points. The NGS MRD assay was performed on a total of 121 remission samples. Among 28 samples that were MRD positive by MFC, all were confirmed to also be MRD positive by

NGS. Among 93 samples that were MRD negative by MFC, 23 were indeterminate for NGS MRD at 10⁻⁶ due to inadequate DNA/cellular input, and 70 were able to be classified as either MRD positive or MRD negativity by the NGS assay. Among these 70 samples that were MRD negative by MFC and were evaluable for MRD assessment by NGS at 10⁻⁶, 32 (46%) were MRD positive by NGS. The median level of detectable MRD by the NGS assay among these discordant cases (i.e. MRD negative by MFC and MRD negative by MFC and MRD positive by NGS) was 0.0027% and was significantly lower than in the concordant cases (i.e. MRD positive by both MFC and NGS) where the median level of MRD was 0.125% (P<0.0001). The discordance rates between MFC and NGS were higher at earlier timepoints than at later timepoints (60% at CR, 52% at 1.5-3 months, and 25% at 3.5-4.5 months), suggesting that NGS MRD assessment may have more utility when performed earlier in the treatment course.

Association of baseline characteristics and likelihood of MRD response

The baseline characteristics of patients who were MRD negative (n=10), MRD positive (n=27) or MRD indeterminate (n=6) at 10^{-6} by NGS at the time of CR are shown in **Supplemental Table 3.** Interestingly, the rates of NGS MRD negativity were similar in patients who had at least 1 poor-risk disease feature and those who did not (24% versus 22%, respectively, P=0.89). Among the 4 patients with a poor-risk baseline feature who achieved MRD negativity by NGS, 1 patient had complex cytogenetics, 1 patient had low hypodiploid karyotype with a *TP53* mutation, 1 patient had CRLF2 overexpression by MFC and a *TP53* mutation, and 1 patient had CRLF2 overexpression by MFC and a *TP53* mutation. Similar rates of MRD negativity by NGS at CR were also observed between patients who received a hyper-CVAD or mini-hyper-CVD-based regimen (23% for both groups).

Impact of MRD status by MFC at CR

MRD negativity by MFC at the time of CR (i.e. after one induction course) was achieved in 49 of the 74 (66%) evaluable patients with NGS MRD information. Eleven (22%) of these MRD-negative patients underwent alloSCT in first remission. Despite achieving early MRD negativity by MFC, 12 patients still relapsed, with a median time to relapse of 16.3 months (range, 6.2-48.2 months). The 5-year CIR and OS rates for patients who were MRD negative by MFC at CR were 29% and 68%, respectively (**Supplemental Figure 1**). Similar rates of relapse were observed in patients treated with either hyper-CVAD or mini-hyper-CVD regimens who were MRD negative by MFC (5-year CIR rates: 30% and 24%, respectively).

Impact of MRD status by NGS at CR

Ten patients of the 43 patients (23%) with an evaluable CR sample for NGS MRD analysis achieved NGS MRD negativity at 10⁻⁶. Achieving MRD negativity by NGS at the time of CR better predicted the likelihood of durable remission than did achieving MRD negativity by MFC. None of the 10 patients who achieved NGS MRD negativity at 10⁻⁶ at the time of CR subsequently relapsed (CIR rate: 0%) and their 5-year OS rate was 90%. Of note, 1 patient who was 69 years of age achieved NGS MRD negativity at CR but died in remission after 7 months. All other patients who achieved NGS MRD negativity at CR were alive and in ongoing remission at last follow-up. Outcomes were superior for patients who achieved NGS MRD negativity at CR compared to those who were MRD positive (5-year CIR rate: 0% versus 45%, respectively, P=0.04; 5-year OS rate: 90% versus 61%, respectively, P=0.11; **Figure 2A-B**).

Figure 2 – Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10⁻⁶ sensitivity at the time of CR. (A)



Cumulative incidence of relapse and (B) overall survival.

Achievement of NGS MRD negativity at cutoffs above 10⁻⁶ provided less discrimination for favorable outcomes. The 5-year CIR rates for patients who were MRD negative at CR using a cutoff 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻⁵ were 16% and 21%, respectively (**Supplemental Figure 2**). Two of the 6 patients who were MRD negative at 10⁻⁵ but were indeterminate for MRD at 10⁻⁶ subsequently relapsed, and the outcomes of these patients with indeterminate MRD were similar to those who were MRD positive at 10⁻⁶ (**Supplemental Figure 3**).

Assessment of NGS MRD added useful prognostic information to assessment of MRD by MFC alone. Importantly, relapses rates were similar between patients who were MRD positive by both MFC and NGS at the time of CR, as compared with those who were MRD negative by MFC but positive by NGS (5-year CIR rate: 56% and 39%, respectively; **Figure 3A**). 5-year OS rates were also similar between these 2 groups (61% and 62%, respectively; **Figure 3B**). Among patients who were MRD negative by MFC at the time of CR, detection of MRD by a high sensitivity NGS identified patients at significantly higher risk of relapse (39% versus 0% among those who were MRD negative by NGS; P=0.05).

Figure 3 – Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10-6 sensitivity and by MFC at the time of CR. (A) Cumulative incidence of relapse and (B) overall survival.



Among the patients who achieved MRD negativity by NGS at CR, only 1 patient (10%) underwent alloSCT in first remission; in contrast, 10 of the 27 patients (37%) who were MRD positive by NGS at CR underwent alloSCT. As receipt of alloSCT could confound the impact of MRD on relapse rates, we repeated these analyses in the subgroup of patients who did not undergo alloSCT in first remission. Similar to the findings in global population, MRD negativity by NGS at CR was associated with significantly reduced risk of relapse among non-transplanted patients (5-year CIR rate: 0% versus 57% in MRD-positive patients; P=0.03; **Figure 4A**) and a trend toward better long-term survival (5-year OS rates: 89% versus 63%, respectively; P=0.16; **Figure 4B**).

Figure 4 – Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10-6 sensitivity at the time of CR in nontransplanted patients. (A) Cumulative incidence of relapse and (B) overall survival.



Among non-transplanted patients who were MRD negative by MFC at CR, assessment of NGS MRD status provided additional prognostic information. The 5-year CIR rates among non-transplanted patients who were MRD negative by both assays and those who were MRD negative by MFC but positive by NGS were 0% and 36%, respectively (**Supplemental Figure 4**).

Impact of MRD status by NGS at late time points

We also evaluated the impact of NGS MRD status at later time points (i.e. assessed between 1.5 months and 4.5 months from the start of therapy). Fifty-three patients had NGS MRD assessed in at least one "post-CR" time point—41 patients at 1 time point, 8 patients at 2 time points, and 4 patients at 3 time points. The median time of post-CR MRD assessment was 3.0 months (range 1.5-4.5 months). Overall, 31 of these 53 evaluable patients (58%) achieved MRD negativity at 1 or more post-CR time points. Interestingly, achievement of MRD negativity by NGS at this later time point did not significantly impact relapse rates or survival. The 5-year CIR rates for patients who were MRD negative versus MRD positive by NGS at a post-CR time point were 28% and 16%, respectively (P=0.48) and the 5-year OS rates were 74% and 58%, respectively (P=0.23) (Supplemental Figure 5). Notably, patients who were MRD positive by NGS were more likely to be transplanted in first remission than were those who were MRD negative by NGS (alloSCT rates: 68% and 19%, respectively; P=0.001). However, when the analysis was repeated in only those patients who did not undergo alloSCT in first remission, post-CR MRD status still did not significantly impact relapse or survival (Supplemental Figure 6). Among the 25 non-transplanted patients who achieved NGS MRD negativity at a post-CR time point, the 5-year CIR rate was 30%, suggesting that assessment of MRD at these later time points may not be adequate to identify patients at very low risk of relapse.

Discussion

Assessment of MRD is imperative for proper risk stratification in ALL and can be used to identify high-risk patients who may be candidates for alternative therapies (e.g. blinatumomab or alloSCT for MRD-positive disease) or low-risk patients for whom treatment de-escalation may be considered.(Short et al., 2021) Current consensus recommendations advise that patients without poor-risk pretreatment disease features who rapidly achieve MRD negativity with conventional MRD assays using a sensitivity of at least $1x10^{-4}$ do not generally require alloSCT in first remission.(Short et al., 2019) For those patients with B-cell ALL who are MRD-positive at a level of $\geq 0.1\%$ after initial therapy, MRD-directed therapy with blinatumomab is recommended, with or without subsequent alloSCT.(Gokbuget et al., 2018) However, in this study, we observed that these MRD thresholds may not be adequate to optimally stratify patients according to risk of relapse. We observed that 46% of bone marrow samples that were considered "MRD negative" by MFC had detectable MRD using a highly sensitive NGS-based MRD assay with a sensitivity of 1x10⁻⁶. Importantly, these patients who were MRD negative by MFC but MRD positive by NGS had similarly poor outcomes to those who were MRD positive by both assays, with a 5-year CIR rate of 39% in the former group. These data suggest that assessment of MRD using a high sensitivity NGS assay may provide better assessment of relapse risk in ALL than conventional MRD assays with lesser sensitivity.

We also observed that rapid achievement of MRD negativity by NGS identified patients at extremely low risk of relapse; in fact, none of the 10 patients who achieved NGS MRD negativity at a level of 10^{-6} after one course of induction chemotherapy subsequently relapsed. This translated to a 5-year OS rate of 90% (due to one death in remission). When less sensitive cutoffs were used for the NGS assay, the test lost some discrimination for outcomes, with relapses rates of 16-21% observed with cutoffs of 10^{-4} or 10^{-5} . These relapses rates were similar to—albeit numerically lower than—the 29% relapse rate observed in patients who were MRD negative by MFC. Overall, these data suggest that MRD assessment after one cycle of induction chemotherapy using a cutoff of 10⁻⁶ identifies a subgroup of patients undergoing frontline ALL therapy (<25% in our study) who have excellent outcomes and extremely low risk of relapse. Importantly, no relapses were observed in this group of patients despite the vast majority (9/10, 90%) not undergoing alloSCT in first remission. However, these data should be interpreted with cautious optimism, as only 10 patients achieved this MRD milestone in our study. Larger studies will be needed to more definitively quantify the expected rate of relapse in this group of patients, particularly for the patients with poor-risk baseline disease

characteristics (e.g. adverse risk cytogenetics). Current recommendations are that these patients should undergo alloSCT in first remission, regardless of MRD status(Short et al., 2019); however, it is possible that alloSCT may not be required for such patients with rapid and deep MRD response to frontline therapy. In fact, in our cohort, 4 patients with adverse-risk ALL achieved NGS MRD negativity at the time of CR, and these patients had excellent outcomes, despite their historically poor-risk features.

Interestingly, assessment of NGS MRD at later time points had less prognostic significance than assessment at the time of CR. Whereas none of the patients who achieved NGS MRD negativity (using a cutoff of 10⁻⁶) at the time of CR subsequently relapsed, the 5year CIR rate was 28% for patients who were MRD negative by the NGS assay at one of these later time points (i.e. 1.5 to 4.5 months from the start of therapy). These findings are in line with previous MRD analyses that show that earlier achievement of MRD negativity is associated with superior outcomes. (Yilmaz et al., 2020, Bruggemann et al., 2006) It should be noted that we did not have consecutive remission samples on enough patients in order to evaluate the optimal time of achieving MRD negativity using this NGS assay, nor to determine whether conversion from MRD positivity to MRD negativity resulted in superior outcomes compared to persistent MRD positivity, as has been shown in some previous studies.(Yilmaz et al., 2020) While it remains possible that achievement of MRD negativity at a level of 10^{-6} after 1 or 2 consolidation cycles may still confer a favorable outcome, our data suggest that MRD negativity immediately after induction appears to provide the greatest protection against subsequent relapse. Thus, caution is required when using NGS-based MRD information obtained beyond the time of initial achievement of CR for clinical decision-making or prognostication.

In addition to providing important prognostic information, assessment of MRD using a high-sensitivity NGS assay has potential therapeutic implications in the management of patients with ALL undergoing frontline therapy. Given their very low risk of relapse, patients who rapidly achieve MRD negativity at a threshold of 10^{-6} may be candidates for treatment deescalation, perhaps with shortening of the intensity or duration of chemotherapy. Such approaches have already been employed in some pediatric protocols, albeit using less sensitive MRD assays.(Vora et al., 2013, Pieters et al., 2016, Borowitz et al., 2015) Additionally, the relatively high rate of relapse for patients who are MRD negative by conventional MFC but have low-level MRD detectable by a highly sensitive NGS assay (5-year CIR of 39% when assessed at the time of CR) suggests that these patients should be considered for alternative therapies. While blinatumomab is approved for patients with B-cell ALL with MRD at a level of $\geq 0.1\%$, our data suggest that use of this agent and other MRD-directed therapies in patients with lower levels of MRD should be explored.

Future studies evaluating the clinical impact of high-sensitivity NGS-based MRD assays in patients with ALL across other clinical contexts will be imperative to clarify the optimal role of this assay. A recent study comparing the same clonoSEQ assay in the peripheral blood and bone marrow in patients with ALL undergoing alloSCT or chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy showed strong correlation between these two sources (r = 0.87; P<0.001).(Muffly et al., 2021) All patients who relapsed after alloSCT and 85% who relapsed after CAR T-cell therapy had detectable NGS MRD in the peripheral blood prior to relapse, suggesting that detection of MRD by this NGS assay may be a marker of impending relapse. However, prospective studies are needed to clarify the role of peripheral blood NGS-based

MRD assessment in the frontline setting and how it correlates with relapse and survival outcomes.

It will also be important to evaluate the role of MRD negativity at a threshold of 10⁻⁶ in patients with Ph-positive ALL and in relapsed/refractory ALL. In patients with Ph-positive ALL, achievement of a complete molecular response (CMR) by PCR is associated with superior OS and can identify patients who may not require alloSCT in first remission.(Short et al., 2016) However, approximately 20-25% of patients with Ph-positive ALL who achieve MRD negativity by PCR still relapse, and more sensitive MRD assays may identify those patients in CMR who are at higher risk of relapse in the absence of alternative therapies (e.g. incorporation of blinatumomab or alloSCT). Conversely, low-level *BCR-ABL1* transcripts can be detected for years in some patients with Ph-positive ALL but rather a more chronic myeloid leukemia-like state.(Hovorkova et al., 2017) It is possible that assessment of NGS MRD may better distinguish these two clinical scenarios and determine whether therapeutic intervention is appropriate.

Additionally, the advent of blinatumomab, inotuzumab ozogamicin and CAR T-cell therapies has dramatically improved the outcomes of patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell ALL.(Short et al., 2021) Whereas relapsed/refractory ALL was historically considered uncurable without alloSCT, the combination of low-intensity chemotherapy with mini-hyper-CVD with inotuzumab and blinatumomab in patients with Ph-negative B-cell ALL in first salvage results in a response rate of 92% and 2-year OS rate of 54%.(Jabbour et al., 2018) In non-transplanted patients, a 2-year OS rate of 64% has been achieved, suggesting that many patients have durable remissions even without alloSCT. Evaluation of MRD negativity using

high sensitivity assays may help to better identify those patients expected to have durable responses—and perhaps cure—with chemoimmunotherapy alone.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have shown that the use of a highly sensitive NGS-based MRD assay can identify a significant proportion of patients with ALL undergoing frontline therapy who are apparently "MRD negative" by conventional MFC but who still have an unacceptably high rate of relapse. In contrast, no patients in our cohort who achieved MRD negativity at a sensitivity of 1x10⁻⁶ after one course of induction chemotherapy subsequently relapsed, and this early NGS-based MRD endpoint was the most prognostic for outcomes. Together, these results suggest that NGS MRD assessment provides clinically relevant prognostic information—beyond that achieved with standard MFC—and can be used to identify patients at very low risk of relapse.

Appendix

Supplemental Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with successful and unsuccessful ID calibration for NGS MRD assay

Characteristic ^a	Successful ID	Unsuccessful ID
	Calibration	Calibration
	(N=81)	(N=9)
Age (years)	50 [19-83]	59 [18-68]
ALL subtype		
B-cell	72 (89)	3 (33)
T-cell	9 (11)	6 (67)
WBC (x10 ⁹ /L)	6.1 [0.5-315.0]	5.2 [1.9-138.0]
Bone marrow blasts (%)	81 [24-99]	81 [24-95]
Karyotype		
Diploid	32 (40)	4 (45)
High hyperdiploidy	6 (7)	0
Low hypodiploidy / near triploidy	13 (16)	1 (11)
KMT2A rearranged	3 (4)	0
Complex	4 (5)	0
Miscellaneous	21 (26)	2 (22)
Insufficient Metaphases	2 (2)	2 (22)
Poor-risk cytogenetics	20/81 (25)	1/9 (11)
CRLF2 positive by flow cytometry	9/49 (18)	0/2
TP53-mutated	20/74 (27)	2/6 (33)
Frontline therapy		
Hyper-CVAD-based	56 (69)	5 (56)
Hyper-CVD-based	25 (31)	4 (44)

^a Continuous variables are listed as median [range] and categorical variables as n (%) or n/N (%)

Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cell; hyper-CVAD, hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin and dexamethasone alternating with high-dose methotrexate and cytarabine; mini-hyper-CVD, hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine and dexamethasone alternating with methotrexate and cytarabine

Regimen	N=74
	n (%)
Hyper-CVAD-based (n=51, 69%)	
HCVAD	9 (13)
HCVAD + Rituximab	5 (7)
HCVAD + Ofatumumab	27 (36)
HCVAD + Nelarabine	6 (8)
HCVAD + Inotuzumab	4 (5)
Mini-hyper-CVD-based (n=23, 31%)	
Mini-HCVD + Rituximab	1 (1)
Mini-HCVD + Ofatumumab	1 (1)
Mini-HCVD + Venetoclax	2 (3)
Mini-HCVD + Inotuzumab	19 (26)

Supplemental Table 2. Frontline regimens for the evaluable study cohort (N=74)

Characteristic ^a	NGS MRD ^{neg}	NGS MRD ^{pos}	NGS MRD ^{ind}
	(N=10)	(N=27)	(N=6)
Age (years)	38 [21-70]	44 [19-79]	52 [29-71]
ALL subtype			
B-cell	8 (80)	24 (89)	6 (100)
T-cell	2 (20)	3 (11)	0
WBC (x10 ⁹ /L)	3.3 [1.1-20.3]	2.9 [0.5-309.2]	3.2 [1-14.1]
Bone marrow blasts (%)	63.5 [24-99]	79 [26-95]	75 [29-94]
Karyotype			
Diploid	6 (60)	10 (36)	3 (50)
High hyperdiploidy	1 (10)	1 (4)	0
Low hypodiploidy / near	1 (10)	7 (26)	1 (17)
triploidy	0	1 (4)	0
KMT2A rearranged	1 (10)	0	1 (17)
Complex	1 (10)	7 (26)	1 (17)
Miscellaneous	0	1 (4)	0
Insufficient Metaphases			
Poor-risk cytogenetics	2/10 (20)	8/27 (30)	2/6 (33)
CRLF2 positive by flow cytometry	2/5 (40)	2/20 (10)	0/5 (0)
TP53-mutated	2/10 (20)	8/25 (32)	3/6 (50)
Frontline therapy			
Hyper-CVAD-based	7 (70)	20 (74)	3 (50)
Hyper-CVD-based	3 (30)	7 (26)	3 (50)

Supplemental Table 3. Baseline characteristics of patients stratified by NGS MRD response at 10⁻⁶ at the time of CR

^a Continuous variables are listed as median [range] and categorical variables as n (%) or n/N (%)

Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cell; hyper-CVAD, hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin and dexamethasone alternating with high-dose methotrexate and cytarabine; mini-hyper-CVD, hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine and dexamethasone alternating with methotrexate and cytarabine

Supplemental Figure 1. Outcomes of patients who achieved MRD negativity by multiparameter flow cytometry at the time of CR



Supplemental Figure 2 – Cumulative incidence of relapse by MRD status by NGS at the time of CR according to threshold of detection. (A) 10^{-4} sensitivity and (B) 10^{-5} sensitivity



Supplemental Figure 3 – Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10⁻⁶ sensitivity at the time of CR, including patients with indeterminate MRD. (A) Cumulative incidence of relapse and (B) overall survival.



Supplemental Figure 4 – Cumulative incidence of relapse by MRD status by NGS at 10^{-6} sensitivity and by MFC at the time of CR in non-transplanted patients



Supplemental Figure 5 – Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10⁻⁶ sensitivity evaluated after achievement of CR. (A) Cumulative incidence of relapse and (B) overall survival.



Supplemental Figure 6 – Outcomes by MRD status by NGS at 10⁻⁶ sensitivity evaluated after achievement of CR in non-transplanted patients. (A) Cumulative incidence of relapse and (B) overall survival.



Bibliography

- BASSAN, R., MASCIULLI, A., INTERMESOLI, T., SPINELLI, O., TOSI, M., PAVONI, C., AUDISIO, E., ROSSI,
 G., FUMAGALLI, M., CASSIBBA, V., MATTEI, D., ROMANI, C., CORTELEZZI, A., CICERI, F.,
 SCATTOLIN, A. M., VITOLO, U., BORLENGHI, E., CORTELAZZO, S., GALLAMINI, A., DEPAOLI, L.,
 CAMPIOTTI, L., TAJANA, M., BOCCHIA, M., VITALE, A., LADETTO, M., DI BONA, E., OLDANI, E.
 & RAMBALDI, A. 2016. Final Results of Northern Italy Leukemia Group (NILG) Trial 10/07
 Combining Pediatric-Type Therapy with Minimal Residual Disease Study and Risk-Oriented
 Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation in Adult Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL). *Blood*, 128, 176.
- BELDJORD, K., CHEVRET, S., ASNAFI, V., HUGUET, F., BOULLAND, M.-L., LEGUAY, T., THOMAS, X.,
 CAYUELA, J.-M., GRARDEL, N., CHALANDON, Y., BOISSEL, N., SCHAEFER, B., DELABESSE, E.,
 CAVÉ, H., CHEVALLIER, P., BUZYN, A., FEST, T., REMAN, O., VERNANT, J.-P., LHÉRITIER, V.,
 BÉNÉ, M. C., LAFAGE, M., MACINTYRE, E., IFRAH, N., DOMBRET, H. & LEUKEMIA, O. B. O. T. G.
 F. R. O. A. A. L. 2014. Oncogenetics and minimal residual disease are independent outcome
 predictors in adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, 123, 3739-3749.
- BERRY, D. A., ZHOU, S., HIGLEY, H., MUKUNDAN, L., FU, S., REAMAN, G. H., WOOD, B. L., KELLOFF, G.
 J., JESSUP, J. M. & RADICH, J. P. 2017. Association of Minimal Residual Disease With Clinical
 Outcome in Pediatric and Adult Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: A Meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol*,
 3, e170580.
- BOROWITZ, M. J., WOOD, B. L., DEVIDAS, M., LOH, M. L., RAETZ, E. A., SALZER, W. L., NACHMAN, J. B.,
 CARROLL, A. J., HEEREMA, N. A., GASTIER-FOSTER, J. M., WILLMAN, C. L., DAI, Y., WINICK, N.
 J., HUNGER, S. P., CARROLL, W. L. & LARSEN, E. 2015. Prognostic significance of minimal residual disease in high risk B-ALL: a report from Children's Oncology Group study AALL0232. *Blood*, 126, 964-71.

- BRUGGEMANN, M., RAFF, T., FLOHR, T., GOKBUGET, N., NAKAO, M., DROESE, J., LUSCHEN, S., POTT,
 C., RITGEN, M., SCHEURING, U., HORST, H. A., THIEL, E., HOELZER, D., BARTRAM, C. R. &
 KNEBA, M. 2006. Clinical significance of minimal residual disease quantification in adult
 patients with standard-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, 107, 1116-23.
- CARLSON, C. S., EMERSON, R. O., SHERWOOD, A. M., DESMARAIS, C., CHUNG, M. W., PARSONS, J. M.,
 STEEN, M. S., LAMADRID-HERRMANNSFELDT, M. A., WILLIAMSON, D. W., LIVINGSTON, R. J.,
 WU, D., WOOD, B. L., RIEDER, M. J. & ROBINS, H. 2013. Using synthetic templates to design an unbiased multiplex PCR assay. *Nat Commun*, *4*, 2680.
- DHEDIN, N., HUYNH, A., MAURY, S., TABRIZI, R., BELDJORD, K., ASNAFI, V., THOMAS, X., CHEVALLIER,
 P., NGUYEN, S., COITEUX, V., BOURHIS, J. H., HICHRI, Y., ESCOFFRE-BARBE, M., REMAN, O.,
 GRAUX, C., CHALANDON, Y., BLAISE, D., SCHANZ, U., LHERITIER, V., CAHN, J. Y., DOMBRET, H.
 & IFRAH, N. 2015. Role of allogeneic stem cell transplantation in adult patients with Phnegative acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, 125, 2486-96; quiz 2586.
- FAHAM, M., ZHENG, J., MOORHEAD, M., CARLTON, V. E., STOW, P., COUSTAN-SMITH, E., PUI, C. H. & CAMPANA, D. 2012. Deep-sequencing approach for minimal residual disease detection in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, 120, 5173-80.
- GOKBUGET, N., DOMBRET, H., BONIFACIO, M., REICHLE, A., GRAUX, C., FAUL, C., DIEDRICH, H., TOPP,
 M. S., BRUGGEMANN, M., HORST, H. A., HAVELANGE, V., STIEGLMAIER, J., WESSELS, H.,
 HADDAD, V., BENJAMIN, J. E., ZUGMAIER, G., NAGORSEN, D. & BARGOU, R. C. 2018.
 Blinatumomab for minimal residual disease in adults with B-cell precursor acute
 lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, 131, 1522-1531.
- GOKBUGET, N., KNEBA, M., RAFF, T., TRAUTMANN, H., BARTRAM, C. R., ARNOLD, R., FIETKAU, R., FREUND, M., GANSER, A., LUDWIG, W. D., MASCHMEYER, G., RIEDER, H., SCHWARTZ, S., SERVE, H., THIEL, E., BRUGGEMANN, M. & HOELZER, D. 2012. Adult patients with acute

lymphoblastic leukemia and molecular failure display a poor prognosis and are candidates for stem cell transplantation and targeted therapies. *Blood*, 120, 1868-76.

- HOVORKOVA, L., ZALIOVA, M., VENN, N. C., BLECKMANN, K., TRKOVA, M., POTUCKOVA, E., VASKOVA,
 M., LINHARTOVA, J., MACHOVA POLAKOVA, K., FRONKOVA, E., MUSKOVIC, W., GILES, J. E.,
 SHAW, P. J., CARIO, G., SUTTON, R., STARY, J., TRKA, J. & ZUNA, J. 2017. Monitoring of
 childhood ALL using BCR-ABL1 genomic breakpoints identifies a subgroup with CML-like
 biology. *Blood*, 129, 2771-2781.
- JABBOUR, E., SASAKI, K., RAVANDI, F., HUANG, X., SHORT, N. J., KHOURI, M., KEBRIAEI, P., BURGER, J., KHOURY, J., JORGENSEN, J., JAIN, N., KONOPLEVA, M., GARCIA-MANERO, G., KADIA, T., CORTES, J., JACOB, J., MONTALBANO, K., GARRIS, R., O'BRIEN, S. & KANTARJIAN, H. M. 2018. Chemoimmunotherapy with inotuzumab ozogamicin combined with mini-hyper-CVD, with or without blinatumomab, is highly effective in patients with Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute lymphoblastic leukemia in first salvage. *Cancer*, 124, 4044-4055.
- JABBOUR, E., SHORT, N. J., JORGENSEN, J. L., YILMAZ, M., RAVANDI, F., WANG, S. A., THOMAS, D. A., KHOURY, J., CHAMPLIN, R. E., KHOURI, I., KEBRIAEI, P., O'BRIEN, S. M., GARCIA-MANERO, G., CORTES, J. E., SASAKI, K., DINARDO, C. D., KADIA, T. M., JAIN, N., KONOPLEVA, M., GARRIS, R. & KANTARJIAN, H. M. 2017. Differential impact of minimal residual disease negativity according to the salvage status in patients with relapsed/refractory B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Cancer*, 123, 294-302.
- JAIN, N., LAMB, A. V., O'BRIEN, S., RAVANDI, F., KONOPLEVA, M., JABBOUR, E., ZUO, Z., JORGENSEN,
 J., LIN, P., PIERCE, S., THOMAS, D., RYTTING, M., BORTHAKUR, G., KADIA, T., CORTES, J.,
 KANTARJIAN, H. M. & KHOURY, J. D. 2016. Early T-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic
 leukemia/lymphoma (ETP-ALL/LBL) in adolescents and adults: a high-risk subtype. *Blood*, 127, 1863-9.

- KOTROVA, M., MUZIKOVA, K., MEJSTRIKOVA, E., NOVAKOVA, M., BAKARDJIEVA-MIHAYLOVA, V.,
 FISER, K., STUCHLY, J., GIRAUD, M., SALSON, M., POTT, C., BRUGGEMANN, M., FULLGRABE,
 M., STARY, J., TRKA, J. & FRONKOVA, E. 2015. The predictive strength of next-generation
 sequencing MRD detection for relapse compared with current methods in childhood ALL. *Blood*, 126, 1045-7.
- KOTROVA, M., VAN DER VELDEN, V. H. J., VAN DONGEN, J. J. M., FORMANKOVA, R., SEDLACEK, P., BRUGGEMANN, M., ZUNA, J., STARY, J., TRKA, J. & FRONKOVA, E. 2017. Next-generation sequencing indicates false-positive MRD results and better predicts prognosis after SCT in patients with childhood ALL. *Bone Marrow Transplant*, 52, 962-968.
- MUFFLY, L., SUNDARAM, V., CHEN, C., YURKIEWICZ, I., KUO, E., BURNASH, S., SPIEGEL, J. Y., ARAI, S., FRANK, M. J., JOHNSTON, L. J., LOWSKY, R., MEYER, E. H., NEGRIN, R. S., REZVANI, A. R., SIDANA, S., SHIRAZ, P., SHIZURU, J. A., WENG, W. K., LIEDTKE, M., VEMPATY, H. T. & MIKLOS, D. B. 2021. Concordance of peripheral blood and bone marrow measurable residual disease in adult acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood Adv*, **5**, 3147-3151.
- PATEL, B., RAI, L., BUCK, G., RICHARDS, S. M., MORTUZA, Y., MITCHELL, W., GERRARD, G., MOORMAN,
 A. V., DUKE, V., HOFFBRAND, A. V., FIELDING, A. K., GOLDSTONE, A. H. & FORONI, L. 2010.
 Minimal residual disease is a significant predictor of treatment failure in non T-lineage adult
 acute lymphoblastic leukaemia: final results of the international trial UKALL XII/ECOG2993. *Br J Haematol*, 148, 80-9.
- PIETERS, R., DE GROOT-KRUSEMAN, H., VAN DER VELDEN, V., FIOCCO, M., VAN DEN BERG, H., DE
 BONT, E., EGELER, R. M., HOOGERBRUGGE, P., KASPERS, G., VAN DER SCHOOT, E., DE HAAS,
 V. & VAN DONGEN, J. 2016. Successful Therapy Reduction and Intensification for Childhood
 Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia Based on Minimal Residual Disease Monitoring: Study ALL10
 From the Dutch Childhood Oncology Group. J Clin Oncol, 34, 2591-601.

- PULSIPHER, M. A., CARLSON, C., LANGHOLZ, B., WALL, D. A., SCHULTZ, K. R., BUNIN, N., KIRSCH, I., GASTIER-FOSTER, J. M., BOROWITZ, M., DESMARAIS, C., WILLIAMSON, D., KALOS, M. & GRUPP, S. A. 2015a. IgH-V(D)J NGS-MRD measurement pre- and early post-allotransplant defines very low- and very high-risk ALL patients. *Blood*, 125, 3501-8.
- PULSIPHER, M. A., CARLSON, C., LANGHOLZ, B., WALL, D. A., SCHULTZ, K. R., BUNIN, N., KIRSCH, I., GASTIER-FOSTER, J. M., BOROWITZ, M., DESMARAIS, C., WILLIAMSON, D., KALOS, M. & GRUPP, S. A. 2015b. IgH-V(D)J NGS-MRD measurement pre- and early post-allotransplant defines very low- and very high-risk ALL patients. *Blood*, 125, 3501-3508.
- RAVANDI, F., JORGENSEN, J. L., O'BRIEN, S. M., JABBOUR, E., THOMAS, D. A., BORTHAKUR, G., GARRIS,
 R., HUANG, X., GARCIA-MANERO, G., BURGER, J. A., FERRAJOLI, A., WIERDA, W., KADIA, T.,
 JAIN, N., WANG, S. A., KONOPLEV, S., KEBRIAEI, P., CHAMPLIN, R. E., MCCUE, D., ESTROV, Z.,
 CORTES, J. E. & KANTARJIAN, H. M. 2016. Minimal residual disease assessed by multiparameter flow cytometry is highly prognostic in adult patients with acute lymphoblastic
 leukaemia. *Br J Haematol*, 172, 392-400.
- RIBERA, J. M., ORIOL, A., MORGADES, M., MONTESINOS, P., SARRA, J., GONZALEZ-CAMPOS, J.,
 BRUNET, S., TORMO, M., FERNANDEZ-ABELLAN, P., GUARDIA, R., BERNAL, M. T., ESTEVE, J.,
 BARBA, P., MORENO, M. J., BERMUDEZ, A., CLADERA, A., ESCODA, L., GARCIA-BOYERO, R., DEL
 POTRO, E., BERGUA, J., AMIGO, M. L., GRANDE, C., RABUNAL, M. J., HERNANDEZ-RIVAS, J. M.
 & FELIU, E. 2014. Treatment of high-risk Philadelphia chromosome-negative acute
 lymphoblastic leukemia in adolescents and adults according to early cytologic response and
 minimal residual disease after consolidation assessed by flow cytometry: final results of the
 PETHEMA ALL-AR-03 trial. J Clin Oncol, 32, 1595-604.
- SHORT, N. J., JABBOUR, E., ALBITAR, M., DE LIMA, M., GORE, L., JORGENSEN, J., LOGAN, A. C., PARK, J., RAVANDI, F., SHAH, B., RADICH, J. & KANTARJIAN, H. 2019. Recommendations for the

assessment and management of measurable residual disease in adults with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: A consensus of North American experts. *Am J Hematol*, 94, 257-265.

- SHORT, N. J., JABBOUR, E., SASAKI, K., PATEL, K., O'BRIEN, S. M., CORTES, J. E., GARRIS, R., ISSA, G. C., GARCIA-MANERO, G., LUTHRA, R., THOMAS, D., KANTARJIAN, H. & RAVANDI, F. 2016. Impact of complete molecular response on survival in patients with Philadelphia chromosomepositive acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, 128, 504-7.
- SHORT, N. J., KANTARJIAN, H. & JABBOUR, E. 2021. Optimizing the treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in younger and older adults: new drugs and evolving paradigms. *Leukemia*, 35, 3044-3058.
- TEMBHARE, P. R., SUBRAMANIAN PG, P. G., GHOGALE, S., CHATTERJEE, G., PATKAR, N. V., GUPTA, A.,
 SHUKLA, R., BADRINATH, Y., DESHPANDE, N., NARULA, G., RODRIGUES, P., GIRASE, K.,
 DHALIWAL, D., PRASAD, M., SHETTY, D., BANAVALI, S. & GUJRAL, S. 2019. A High-Sensitivity
 10-Color Flow Cytometric Minimal Residual Disease Assay in B-Lymphoblastic
 Leukemia/Lymphoma Can Easily Achieve the Sensitivity of 2-in-10(6) and Is Superior to
 Standard Minimal Residual Disease Assay: A Study of 622 Patients. *Cytometry B Clin Cytom*.
- THEUNISSEN, P., MEJSTRIKOVA, E., SEDEK, L., VAN DER SLUIJS-GELLING, A. J., GAIPA, G., BARTELS, M., SOBRAL DA COSTA, E., KOTROVA, M., NOVAKOVA, M., SONNEVELD, E., BURACCHI, C., BONACCORSO, P., OLIVEIRA, E., TE MARVELDE, J. G., SZCZEPANSKI, T., LHERMITTE, L., HRUSAK, O., LECREVISSE, Q., GRIGORE, G. E., FRONKOVA, E., TRKA, J., BRUGGEMANN, M., ORFAO, A., VAN DONGEN, J. J. & VAN DER VELDEN, V. H. 2017. Standardized flow cytometry for highly sensitive MRD measurements in B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood*, 129, 347-357.
- VAN DER VELDEN, V. H., BRÜGGEMANN, M., HOOGEVEEN, P. G., DE BIE, M., HART, P. G., RAFF, T., PFEIFER, H., LÜSCHEN, S., SZCZEPAŃSKI, T., VAN WERING, E. R., KNEBA, M. & VAN DONGEN,

J. J. 2004. TCRB gene rearrangements in childhood and adult precursor-B-ALL: frequency, applicability as MRD-PCR target, and stability between diagnosis and relapse. *Leukemia*, 18, 1971-80.

- VORA, A., GOULDEN, N., WADE, R., MITCHELL, C., HANCOCK, J., HOUGH, R., ROWNTREE, C. & RICHARDS, S. 2013. Treatment reduction for children and young adults with low-risk acute lymphoblastic leukaemia defined by minimal residual disease (UKALL 2003): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol*, 14, 199-209.
- WOOD, B. 2006. 9-color and 10-color flow cytometry in the clinical laboratory. *Arch Pathol Lab Med*, 130, 680-90.
- WOOD, B., WU, D., CROSSLEY, B., DAI, Y., WILLIAMSON, D., GAWAD, C., BOROWITZ, M. J., DEVIDAS,
 M., MALONEY, K. W., LARSEN, E., WINICK, N., RAETZ, E., CARROLL, W. L., HUNGER, S. P., LOH,
 M. L., ROBINS, H. & KIRSCH, I. 2018. Measurable residual disease detection by highthroughput sequencing improves risk stratification for pediatric B-ALL. *Blood*, 131, 1350-1359.
- YILMAZ, M., KANTARJIAN, H., WANG, X., KHOURY, J. D., RAVANDI, F., JORGENSEN, J., SHORT, N. J., LOGHAVI, S., CORTES, J., GARCIA-MANERO, G., KADIA, T., SASAKI, K., KONOPLEVA, M., TAKAHASHI, K., WIERDA, W., JAIN, N., VERSTOVSEK, S., ESTROV, Z., BOSE, P., PIERCE, S., GARRIS, R., O'BRIEN, S. & JABBOUR, E. 2020. The early achievement of measurable residual disease negativity in the treatment of adults with Philadelphia-negative B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia is a strong predictor for survival. *Am J Hematol*, 95, 144-150.
- YOU, M. J., MEDEIROS, L. J. & HSI, E. D. 2015. T-lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma. *Am J Clin Pathol*, 144, 411-22.

Nicholas James Short was born in Texas; the son of James and Magdalena Short. He received the degree of Bachelor of Arts with a major in philosophy from Rice University in December 2006. He received his MD from Baylor College of Medicine in 2011 and then subsequently completed internal medicine residency at The Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston, Massachusetts, followed by hematology/oncology fellowship at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. He joined the Department of Leukemia as Assistant Professor in 2017. In 2020, he entered the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center / UTHealth Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences to pursue a Master's Degree in Biomedical Sciences.